

Comparative Perspectives on Administrative Procedure

CAROLINA ACADEMIC PRESS
GLOBAL PAPERS SERIES

Edited by
Russell L. Weaver and Steven I. Friedland

VOLUME I

Recent Developments in Administrative Law and
Alternative Dispute Resolution

VOLUME II

Comparative Perspectives on Freedom of Expression

VOLUME III

Comparative Perspectives on Administrative Procedure

VOLUME IV

Privacy in a Digital Age

VOLUME V

Comparative Perspectives on Remedies

VOLUME VI

Cybersurveillance in a Post-Snowden World

Comparative Perspectives on Administrative Procedure

GLOBAL PAPERS SERIES
VOLUME III

Edited by

Russell L. Weaver

PROFESSOR OF LAW & DISTINGUISHED UNIVERSITY SCHOLAR
UNIVERSITY OF LOUISVILLE, LOUIS D. BRANDEIS SCHOOL OF LAW

Herwig C.H. Hofmann

PROFESSOR OF EUROPEAN & TRANSNATIONAL PUBLIC LAW & JEAN MONNET CHAIR
UNIVERSITY OF LUXEMBOURG FACULTY OF LAW, ECONOMICS & FINANCE

Cheng-Yi Huang

ASSOCIATE RESEARCH PROFESSOR
INSTITUTUM IURISPRUDENTIAE, ACADEMIA SINICA

Steven I. Friedland

PROFESSOR OF LAW & SENIOR SCHOLAR
ELON UNIVERSITY SCHOOL OF LAW



CAROLINA ACADEMIC PRESS
Durham, North Carolina

Copyright © 2017
Carolina Academic Press, LLC
All Rights Reserved.

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Names: Weaver, Russell L., 1952-, editor.

Title: Comparative perspectives on administrative procedure / edited by
Russell L. Weaver, Herwig C.H. Hofmann, Cheng-Yi Huang, and Steven I.
Friedland.

Description: Durham, North Carolina : Carolina Academic Press, 2017. |
Series: The global papers series ; volume iii | Contributions based on
conference "Renovating administrative law." | Includes bibliographical
references and index.

Identifiers: LCCN 2017014447 | ISBN 9781611638950 (alk. paper)

Subjects: LCSH: Administrative procedure--Congresses. | Administrative
law--Congresses.

Classification: LCC K3402.A6 D48 2017 | DDC 342/.06--dc23

LC record available at <https://lcn.loc.gov/2017014447>

e-ISBN 978-1-53100-640-2

Carolina Academic Press, LLC
700 Kent Street
Durham, NC 27701
Telephone (919) 489-7486
Fax (919) 493-5668
www.cap-press.com

Printed in the United States of America

Contents

Series Note	xi
Introduction: Comparative Perspectives on Administrative Procedure	3
<i>Russel L. Weaver, Herwig C.H. Hofmann, & Cheng-Yi Huang</i>	
Informalizing Informal Rulemaking	9
<i>Richard Murphy</i>	
Introduction	9
I. The Fall from Notice-and-Comment Grace	12
A. Notice-and-comment rulemaking was easy.	12
B. Notice-and-comment rulemaking became really hard — and kind of formal.	15
II. Reinformalizing Informal Rulemaking	22
Conclusion	29
Is the U.S. Supreme Court Becoming Hostile to the Administrative State?	31
<i>Jeffrey S. Lubbers</i>	
Coda	49
Renovating Administrative Procedure: An Impressionistic Guide to the American Experience	51
<i>Peter L. Strauss</i>	
Agency Coordination as Agency Action	73
<i>Robert B. Ahdieh</i>	
Regulation as Coercion, and as Coordination	73
The Coercive Consequences of Coordination	77
Reassessing the Scope of Administrative Review	81

Tradition and Renewal of Administrative <i>Ex Ante</i> Controls of Legality: The Case of <i>Toma de Razón</i> In Chile	87
<i>Gabriel Bocksang Hola</i>	
I. The origins of <i>toma de razón</i>	89
II. The current system of <i>toma de razón</i>	93
a. The nature of <i>toma de razón</i>	94
b. The scope of <i>toma de razón</i>	95
c. The procedure of <i>toma de razón</i>	96
III. Assessment and legislative renovation of <i>toma de razón</i>	98
Conclusions	102
A Misinterpretation or a Productive Diversion? The Rise and Fall of the “Relationship of Reciprocal Interchangeability” Concept and the Possibility of Reception of a Legal Interpretation	105
<i>Narufumi Kadomatsu</i>	
I. Introduction	105
II. Background: The <i>Kunitachi</i> condominium conflict	106
III. Standing to sue	108
(a) Japanese case law on standing	108
(b) “Relationship of reciprocal interchangeability (RRI)”	109
(c) Application to the <i>Kunitachi</i> conflict	111
IV. Diversion of the RRI theory to civil litigation — A-3 Decision	112
V. Fading away of the RRI theory	114
(a) Supreme Court’s “simple and casual” recognition of “the interest in the landscape”	114
(b) “Reverse import” to standing in administrative litigation	116
VI. Reflections	116
(a) Reception-Diversion — Fading Away	116
(b) Micro-fit and macro-fit	118
(c) On “Diversion”	120
(d) Internal Perspective: Right to Claim for Maintaining the District Character (<i>Gebietserhaltungsanspruch</i>)?	124
(e) External Perspective: Should we call RRI back to the front of the stage?	125
Two Conundrums of Canadian Administrative Law and a Theoretical Turn	129
<i>Hoi L. Kong</i>	
Part I. The State of Judicial Review in Canada: A Primer and Two Conundrums	130

Part II. A Snapshot of the Jurisprudence	132
1. Judicial Review of An Agency's Exercise of Statutory Interpretation	132
2. Judicial Review of Executive Branch Decisions Where no Reasons are Given	134
Part III. Theorizing Judicial Review	135
1. Dyzenhaus and Deference as Respect	135
2. Towards a Principled Interpretation of Correctness Review	137
3. Judicial Review's Constitutional Principles	141
A. <i>McLean</i> : Normative Diversity and Coherence	143
B. <i>Agraira</i> : Normative Diversity and Coherence	146
Conclusion	147
Recent Developments in Administrative Law:	
The Tremors of March 9, 2015	149
<i>Peter L. Strauss</i>	
I. <i>Department of Transportation v. Association of American Railroads</i>	149
II. <i>Perez, Secretary of Labor v. Mortgage Bankers Association</i>	156
Proceeding Without an Administrative Procedure Act:	
The Australian Story	163
<i>Margaret Allars</i>	
1. Administrative Procedure Act	164
2. Australia	168
3. Procedural Uniformity: Some Conclusions	179
The Constitution as a Primary Administrative Procedure Act in Chilean Law	181
<i>Gabriel Bocksang Hola</i>	
Introduction	181
I. The systematic function	182
II. The principal function	186
III. The suppletory function	190
Conclusion	194
Administrative Searches and the Need for Reform	197
<i>Russell L. Weaver</i>	
I. Administrative Authority to Search: Modern Judicial Interpretations	199
II. The Border Search Doctrine	202
III. NSA Data Collection	204
Conclusion	212

Taking “Regulatory Courts” Seriously — A Perspective from Japanese City Planning Law	213
<i>Narufumi Kadomatsu</i>	
1. Conceptual Issues	213
1.1 Regulation: A relative/reciprocal concept	213
1.2 Expanding functions of the judiciary?	215
2. Institutional backdrop: “legal dispute”	216
2.1 The concept of “legal dispute”	216
2.2 Administrative litigation as a “legal dispute”	216
2.2.1 “Legal dispute” as a gatekeeper: Subject matter (administrative disposition) and standing to sue	216
2.3 The concept of judiciary limited to judgments on “questions of law”	219
2.3.1 Administrative discretion and “questions of law”	220
2.3.2 Relativity of “questions of law”	220
2.4 Summary	222
3. Tug of war over the limits of the judiciary	222
3.1 Relativization of “gatekeeper” function	223
3.1.1 Administrative disposition	223
3.1.2 Standing to sue	224
3.2 Possibilities for more active intervention	225
3.2.1 Determination of facts and prognosis	225
3.2.2 Interpretation of law and “judgment making process control”	227
4. Conclusion	230
 Chevron with Teeth: Judicial Deference and Information Elicitation	 231
<i>Cheng-Yi Huang</i>	
1. Disarray or Disaggregation — Judicial deference in post- <i>Mead</i> Era	232
2. Unfolding Judicial Deference	239
2.1. Judicial Deference under Express Legislative Delegation	240
2.2. Judicial Deference under Implicit Legislative Delegation	242
3. Judicial Deference and the Elicitation of Information	246
3.1. Deference as Rule vs. Deference as Standard	246
3.2. Legal Mechanisms for Information Elicitation and Circulation in the Post- <i>Mead</i> Era	249
4. Conclusion	253

The Proliferation of Administrative Searches in the Modern Administrative State	255
<i>Russell L. Weaver</i>	
I. The Fourth Amendment and Administrative Searches During the Colonial Period	256
II. The Supreme Court and Administrative Inspections	259
III. The Conundrum Presented by the Court's Administrative Search Jurisprudence	261
IV. Proliferating Searches by Administrative Officials	266
Conclusion	269

Series Note

The Global Papers Series involves publications of papers by nationally and internationally prominent legal scholars on a variety of important legal topics, including administrative law, freedom of expression, defamation and criminal law. The books in this series present the work of scholars from different nations who bring diverse perspectives to the issues under discussion.

