

Civil Procedure

Civil Procedure

Cases, Materials, and Questions

SEVENTH EDITION

Richard D. Freer

ROBERT HOWELL HALL PROFESSOR OF LAW
EMORY UNIVERSITY SCHOOL OF LAW

Wendy Collins Perdue

DEAN AND PROFESSOR OF LAW
UNIVERSITY OF RICHMOND SCHOOL OF LAW



CAROLINA ACADEMIC PRESS

Durham, North Carolina

Copyright © 2016
Richard D. Freer and Wendy Collins Perdue
All Rights Reserved

Clothbound ISBN 978-1-61163-911-7
Looseleaf ISBN 978-1-61163-953-7
LCCN 2016934808

CAROLINA ACADEMIC PRESS, LLC
700 Kent Street
Durham, NC 27701
Telephone (919) 489-7486
Fax (919) 493-5668
www.caplax.com

Printed in the United States of America

Dedication

We dedicate this work to Sherman Cohn — model scholar, teacher, mentor, and friend — in celebration of his fifty years on the faculty at Georgetown University Law Center.

Contents

Preface	xix
Notes on Form	xxi
Acknowledgments	xxiii
Chapter 1 • An Introduction to the Civil Action and Procedure	3
A. The Study of Procedure	3
B. Federalism	5
C. Overview of the Structure of a Court System	7
1. Trial Courts	7
2. Intermediate Appellate Courts	8
3. Supreme Courts	8
4. Appellate Practice and the Doctrine of Precedent	9
D. The Adversary System	10
E. Alternatives to Litigation	13
F. A Brief History of Our English Judicial Roots	15
G. General Topics of Civil Procedure	18
1. Selecting the Forum (Chapters 2–6, 10)	19
2. Learning About the Opponent’s Case (Chapters 7 & 8)	20
3. Adjudication With or Without a Jury (Chapter 9)	21
4. Preclusion, Joinder, and Supplemental Jurisdiction (Chapters 11–13)	21
5. Appeal (Chapter 14)	21
6. Litigation Alternatives (Chapter 15)	22
7. A Quick Note on Materials	22
Chapter 2 • Personal Jurisdiction	23
A. Introduction and Integration	23
B. Constitutional Limits on Personal Jurisdiction	24
1. The Fountainhead— <i>Pennoyer v. Neff</i>	24
<i>Pennoyer v. Neff</i>	24
Notes and Questions	31
2. Interim Developments	34
<i>Hess v. Pawloski</i>	36
Notes and Questions	38
3. The Modern Era	38

<i>International Shoe Co. v. Washington</i>	38
Notes and Questions	44
Note on <i>McGee, Hanson, and Gray</i>	46
<i>World-Wide Volkswagen v. Woodson</i>	49
Notes and Questions	58
Personal Jurisdiction in Federal Court	62
Note on <i>Keeton, Calder, and Walden</i>	64
Why Litigants Care About Where Litigation Occurs	66
<i>Burger King Corp. v. Rudzewicz</i>	67
Notes and Questions	79
Note on <i>Asahi</i> and the “Stream of Commerce”	81
<i>J. McIntyre Machinery, Ltd. v. Nicastro</i>	83
Notes and Questions	97
4. General Jurisdiction	99
<i>Daimler AG v. Bauman</i>	99
Notes and Questions	108
5. Explicit Consent and Forum Selection Provisions	111
6. In Rem and Quasi-in-Rem Jurisdiction	113
<i>Shaffer v. Heitner</i>	113
Notes and Questions	123
7. Transient Presence (“Tag” Jurisdiction)	126
Jurisdiction over Businesses	130
8. Personal Jurisdiction and the Internet	132
<i>Revell v. Lidov</i>	132
Notes and Questions	138
C. A Different Perspective	140
1. The Purposes of Personal Jurisdiction	140
2. Personal Jurisdiction in Other Countries	142
Chapter II, Jurisdiction	142
D. Statutory Limits on Personal Jurisdiction	143
Official Code of Georgia Annotated	145
Notes and Questions	145
Chapter 3 • Notice and Opportunity to Be Heard	147
A. Introduction and Integration	147
B. Notice	147
1. The Constitutional Requirement	147
<i>Mullane v. Central Hanover Bank & Trust Co.</i>	147
Notes and Questions	153
2. Statutory Requirements	157
<i>National Development Co. v. Triad Holding Corp.</i>	159
Notes and Questions	162
3. Immunity, Evasion, and “Sewer Service”	165
C. Opportunity to Be Heard	166

<i>Connecticut v. Doebr</i>	167
Notes and Questions	175
Chapter 4 • Subject Matter Jurisdiction	181
A. Introduction and Integration	181
B. State Courts and General Subject Matter Jurisdiction	181
C. Federal Courts and Limited Subject Matter Jurisdiction	182
1. The Constitutional Grants and Role of Congress	182
Notes and Questions	182
2. Plaintiff's Burden to Establish Federal Subject Matter Jurisdiction	183
3. Diversity of Citizenship and Alienage Jurisdiction	184
a. Introductory Note	184
b. The Complete Diversity Rule	186
<i>Strawbridge v. Curtiss</i>	186
Notes and Questions	187
c. Determining Citizenship of Individuals	188
<i>Mas v. Perry</i>	188
Notes and Questions	191
d. Determining Citizenship of Entities	195
i. Corporations	195
<i>Randazzo v. Eagle-Picher Industries, Inc.</i>	196
Notes and Questions	197
<i>Hertz Corporation v. Friend</i>	199
Notes and Questions	206
ii. Non-Incorporated Businesses	207
<i>Belleville Catering Co. v. Champaign Market Place L.L.C.</i>	208
Notes and Questions	210
e. Representative Suits and Assignments of Claims	212
f. The Domestic Relations and Probate Exceptions	214
g. The Amount in Controversy Requirement	215
Notes and Questions	216
4. Federal Question Jurisdiction	219
a. Introductory Note	219
b. Narrow Interpretations of the Jurisdictional Statute	220
i. The Well-Pleaded Complaint Rule	220
<i>Louisville & Nashville Railroad Co. v. Mottley</i>	220
Notes and Questions	222
ii. Well-Pleaded Complaint Problems Raised by Declaratory Judgments	224
iii. Centrality of the Federal Issue to the Claim	226
<i>Grable & Sons Metal Products, Inc. v. Darue Engineering & Manufacturing</i>	229
Notes and Questions	235
5. Supplemental Jurisdiction	238

6. Removal Jurisdiction	239
Notes and Questions	241
Chapter 5 • Venue	247
A. Introduction and Integration	247
B. Local and Transitory Actions	248
C. State Venue Provisions	249
Maryland Code Annotated	249
Notes and Questions	251
D. Venue in Federal Court	252
The Basic Rules	252
Notes and Questions	253
<i>Bates v. C & S Adjusters, Inc.</i>	255
Notes and Questions	258
E. Change of Venue	259
1. Transfer of Civil Cases in State Courts	259
2. Transfer of Civil Actions in Federal Court	260
a. Where Can Cases Be Transferred?	260
b. <i>Goldlawr</i> Transfers	261
c. Choice of Law	261
d. Standard for Transfer Under §§ 1404 and 1406	263
e. The Effect of a Forum Selection Clause	265
f. Multidistrict Litigation	266
F. Forum non Conveniens	267
<i>Piper Aircraft Co. v. Reyno</i>	267
Notes and Questions	276
Chapter 6 • Raising Jurisdictional and Related Challenges	281
A. Introduction and Integration	281
B. The Traditional and Modern Approaches to Challenging Personal Jurisdiction	282
Notes and Questions	283
C. Collateral and Direct Attacks on Personal Jurisdiction	285
<i>Baldwin v. Iowa State Traveling Men's Association</i>	286
Notes and Questions	287
D. Challenging Federal Subject Matter Jurisdiction	288
Chapter 7 • Pleadings and Judgments Based on Pleadings	293
A. Introduction and Integration	293
B. Historical Overview of the Evolution of Pleadings	294
C. The Complaint	297
1. Requirements	297
a. Elements of the Complaint	297
i. A “short and plain statement of the grounds for the court’s jurisdiction”	297

ii. A “short and plain statement of the claim showing that the pleader is entitled to relief”	297
iii. A “demand for the relief sought, which may include relief in the alternative or different types of relief”	298
b. Form of Pleadings	298
c. Legal Sufficiency	299
Notes and Questions	301
d. Factual (or “Formal”) Sufficiency: The Debate Over Specificity	302
i. Code Pleading	303
ii. Federal Rules Pleading	304
<i>Dioguardi v. Durning</i>	304
Notes and Questions	306
<i>Bell Atlantic Corporation v. Twombly</i>	307
Notes and Questions	315
The <i>Iqbal</i> Case	317
Notes and Questions	321
<i>Swanson v. Citibank, N.A.</i>	322
Notes and Questions	328
iii. The Common Counts	329
e. Heightened Specificity Requirements in Certain Cases	329
<i>Leatherman v. Tarrant County</i>	329
Notes and Questions	332
f. Pleading Inconsistent Facts and Alternative Theories	334
2. Voluntary Dismissal	335
Notes and Questions	336
3. Involuntary Dismissal	337
Notes and Questions	338
D. Defendant’s Options in Response	339
1. Motions	339
Questions	340
2. The Answer	340
a. Responses to the Plaintiff’s Allegations	340
i. Admissions	341
ii. Denials	341
iii. Denials for Lack of Knowledge or Information	342
b. Affirmative Defenses	342
Notes and Questions	344
3. Claims by the Defendant	345
4. Failure to Respond: Default and Default Judgment	345
Notes and Questions	345
E. Amended Pleadings	347
1. Basic Principles Under Rule 15(a)	347
Notes and Questions	347
2. The Problem of Variance Under Rule 15(b)	349

Notes and Questions	350
3. Amendment and the Statute of Limitations Under Rule 15(c)	351
a. Amendment to Claims or Defenses	351
<i>Marsh v. Coleman Company</i>	351
Notes and Questions	355
b. Amendment Changing a Party	355
F. Supplemental Pleadings	356
G. Veracity in Pleading: Rule 11 and Other Devices	356
1. Rule 11	356
Model Rules of Professional Conduct	358
Notes and Questions	359
<i>Rector v. Approved Federal Savings Bank</i>	361
Notes and Questions	366
2. Other Sanctions	368
Chapter 8 • Discovery	371
A. Introduction and Integration	371
B. Required Disclosures	374
1. Required Initial Disclosures (Rule 26(a)(1))	375
Questions	375
2. Required Disclosures Concerning Expert Witnesses (Rule 26(a)(2))	375
3. Required Pretrial Disclosures (Rule 26(a)(3))	376
C. Discovery Tools	376
1. Depositions (Rules 30, 31)	377
Questions	378
2. Interrogatories (Rule 33)	378
Questions	379
3. Production of Documents and Things (Rule 34)	379
Questions	379
4. Medical Examination (Rule 35)	380
Questions	380
5. Requests for Admission (Rule 36)	381
Questions	381
6. Practice Problem	381
D. Scope of Discovery	381
1. General Scope	381
a. “Relevant to a claim or defense.”	382
<i>United Oil Co. v. Parts Associates, Inc.</i>	382
Questions	387
b. “Nonprivileged.”	389
c. “Proportional.”	391
2. Issues Concerning Discovery of ESI	392
<i>Zubulake v. UBS Warburg LLC</i>	393
Notes and Questions	398

3. Work Product	399
<i>Hickman v. Taylor</i>	400
Notes and Questions	407
4. Experts	411
<i>Ager v. Jane C. Stormont Hospital & Training School for Nurses</i>	412
Notes and Questions	418
5. Discovery in the International Context	420
6. Review Problem	422
E. Timing and Pretrial Disclosures, Conferences, and Orders	423
1. Timing	423
2. Pretrial Conferences and Orders	424
F. Sanctions	428
<i>Washington State Physicians Insurance Exchange & Association v. Fisons Corp.</i>	428
Notes and Questions	441
Chapter 9 • Adjudication With and Without a Trial or a Jury	445
A. Introduction and Integration	445
B. The Right to a Jury	445
1. Scope of the Constitutional Right	445
a. “Actions at Common Law” and the Historical Test	447
<i>Chauffeurs Local 391 v. Terry</i>	447
Notes and Questions	456
b. The Complications of Merger and the Federal Rules	461
c. Juries in Non-Article III Courts	462
d. Juries in State Courts	463
2. Selection and Size of the Jury	464
a. The Venire and Voir Dire	464
b. Peremptory Challenges	465
<i>J.E.B. v. Alabama</i>	466
Notes and Questions	481
c. Two Views of Voir Dire and Peremptory Strikes	484
Marc Mezibov and H. Louis Sirkin, <i>The Mapplethorpe Obscenity Trial</i>	484
Morris Hoffman, <i>10 Trial Mistakes</i>	488
d. Jury Size	488
3. Jury Nullification and Its Limits	489
C. Summary Judgment — Adjudication Without Trial	490
<i>Anderson v. Liberty Lobby, Inc.</i>	493
Notes and Questions	501
<i>Coble v. City of White House</i>	505
Notes and Questions	510
D. Controlling and Second-Guessing Juries	514
1. Judgment as a Matter of Law (Directed Verdict and JNOV)	514

<i>Lavender v. Kurn</i>	515
Notes and Questions	520
2. New Trials	523
<i>Dadurian v. Underwriters at Lloyd's of London</i>	524
Notes and Questions	530
3. Other Techniques for Controlling Juries	532
a. Admissibility of Evidence	532
b. Jury Instructions	534
c. Form of the Verdict	535
d. Judicial Comment	536
e. Juror Misconduct	536
Chapter 10 • What Law Applies in Federal Court	541
A. Introduction and Integration	541
B. Determining What Law Applies	543
1. The <i>Erie</i> Doctrine	543
<i>Erie Railroad Co. v. Tompkins</i>	543
Notes and Questions	549
Note: Constitutional Bases of <i>Erie</i>	552
2. Early Efforts to Describe When State Law Applies	554
<i>Byrd v. Blue Ridge Rural Electrical Cooperative, Inc.</i>	557
Notes and Questions	560
3. The Federal Rules of Civil Procedure	562
<i>Hanna v. Plumer</i>	562
Note on Understanding <i>Hanna</i>	570
a. What Happens When There Is a Federal Rule of Civil Procedure on Point — The Rules Enabling Act Prong	571
i. Determining Whether a Federal Directive Is on Point	572
ii. Determining Whether a Federal Directive Is Valid	574
b. What Happens When There Is No Federal Rule of Civil Procedure on Point — The Rules of Decision Act Prong	575
Notes and Questions	575
c. Applying the <i>Hanna</i> Structure	577
<i>Gasperini v. Center for Humanities, Inc.</i>	577
Notes and Questions	589
Note on “SLAPP” Suits	590
<i>Shady Grove Orthopedic Association v. Allstate Insurance Co.</i>	592
Notes and Questions	613
C. Determining the Content of State Law	614
<i>Deweerth v. Baldinger</i>	615
Notes and Questions	617
D. Federal Common Law	619
E. Federal Law in State Court	620

Chapter 11 • The Preclusion Doctrines	621
A. Introduction and Integration	621
B. Claim Preclusion	623
1. Scope of a Claim	623
a. In General	623
<i>Carter v. Hinkle</i>	623
Notes and Questions	627
b. Contract Cases	630
2. Parties or Persons in Privity	631
a. Who Can Be Bound?	631
<i>Taylor v. Sturgell</i>	631
Notes and Questions	636
b. Configuration of the Parties	637
Notes and Questions	638
3. Valid, Final Judgment on the Merits	638
a. Validity	638
b. Finality	638
c. On the Merits	639
Notes and Questions	640
4. Exceptions to the Operation of Claim Preclusion	641
Notes and Questions	642
C. Issue Preclusion	644
1. Same Issue Litigated and Determined	644
<i>Cromwell v. County of Sac</i>	645
Notes and Questions	648
2. Issue Determined Was Essential to the Judgment	650
<i>Rios v. Davis</i>	650
Notes and Questions	652
3. Valid, Final Judgment on the Merits	654
4. Against Whom Can Issue Preclusion Be Asserted?	654
Notes and Questions	654
5. By Whom Can Issue Preclusion Be Asserted?	655
a. Mutuality and Exceptions	655
b. Rejection of Mutuality for Defensive Use	656
Notes and Questions	658
c. Rejection of Mutuality for Offensive Use	660
<i>Parklane Hosiery Co. v. Shore</i>	660
Notes and Questions	663
5. Exceptions to the Operation of Issue Preclusion	665
Notes and Questions	666
D. Problems of Federalism	667
1. State-to-State	668
2. State-to-Federal	668
3. Federal-to-State	670
4. Federal-to-Federal	670

Chapter 12 · Scope of Litigation — Joinder and Supplemental Jurisdiction	673
A. Introduction and Integration	673
B. Real Party in Interest, Capacity, and Standing	675
Notes and Questions	676
C. Claim Joinder by Plaintiffs	677
1. Procedural Aspects	677
2. Jurisdictional Aspects	678
<i>United Mine Workers v. Gibbs</i>	678
Notes and Questions	682
D. Permissive Party Joinder by Plaintiffs	685
1. Procedural Aspects	685
<i>Schwartz v. Swan</i>	686
Notes and Questions	689
2. Jurisdictional Aspects	694
Notes and Questions	695
E. Claim Joinder by Defendants	698
1. Counterclaims	698
a. Compulsory Counterclaims	698
i. Procedural Aspects	698
<i>Dindo v. Whitney</i>	698
<i>Carteret Savings & Loan Assn. v. Jackson</i>	700
Notes and Questions	701
ii. Jurisdictional Aspects	704
Notes and Questions	705
b. Permissive Counterclaims	705
i. Procedural Aspects	705
Notes and Questions	706
ii. Jurisdictional Aspects	706
2. Crossclaims	708
a. Procedural Aspects	708
Notes and Questions	708
b. Jurisdictional Aspects	709
Notes and Questions	709
F. Overriding Plaintiff's Party Structure	710
1. Impleader (Third-Party Practice)	711
a. Procedural Aspects	711
<i>Markvicka v. Brodhead-Garrett Co.</i>	712
Notes and Questions	714
b. Jurisdictional Aspects	715
<i>Owen Equipment & Erection Co. v. Kroger</i>	716
Notes and Questions	723
2. Compulsory Joinder (Necessary and Indispensable Parties)	725
a. Procedural Aspects	725
<i>Haas v. Jefferson National Bank</i>	725

<i>Temple v. Synthes Corp.</i>	729
Notes and Questions	730
b. Jurisdictional Aspects	733
Notes and Questions	734
3. Intervention	736
a. Procedural Aspects	736
Notes and Questions	737
b. Jurisdictional Aspects	739
Notes and Questions	740
Chapter 13 · Special Multiparty Litigation: Interpleader and the Class Action	743
A. Introduction and Integration	743
B. Interpleader	743
1. Background	743
2. The Two Types of Interpleader in Federal Court	745
<i>Pan American Fire & Casualty Co. v. Revere</i>	745
Notes and Questions	749
3. The Limits of Interpleader to Avoid Duplicative Litigation	753
<i>State Farm Fire & Casualty Co. v. Tashire</i>	753
Notes and Questions	757
C. The Class Action	759
1. Background	759
2. Policy and Ethical Issues	759
3. Constitutional Considerations	762
<i>Hansberry v. Lee</i>	764
Notes and Questions	767
4. Practice Under Federal Rule 23	769
a. Background	769
b. Filing and Certification of a Class Action	770
c. Requirements for Certification Under Rule 23	771
i. Prerequisites of Rule 23(a)	771
Notes and Questions	773
ii. Types of Class Actions Under Rule 23(b)	775
Notes and Questions	778
d. Notice to Class Members of the Pendency of the Action	780
Notes and Questions	781
e. Court's Role in Dismissal and Settlement	782
5. Subject Matter Jurisdiction	785
<i>Exxon Mobil Corp. v. Allapattah Services</i>	786
Notes and Questions	795
Note on Jurisdiction Under CAFA	796
6. Personal Jurisdiction	797
Notes and Questions	799

Chapter 14 • Appellate Review	801
A. Introduction and Integration	801
B. Appellate Jurisdiction in the Federal Courts	802
1. Section 1291	802
2. Collateral Order Doctrine	804
<i>Cunningham v. Hamilton County</i>	805
Notes and Questions	811
3. Section 1292	815
Notes and Questions	815
4. Rule 23(f)	816
5. Rule 54(b)	817
Notes and Questions	817
6. Mandamus	818
7. Appealability of Discovery Orders	820
8. Mechanics and Timing of Filing an Appeal	821
9. Appellate Jurisdiction of the United States Supreme Court	822
C. Appeals in State Courts	822
D. Standards of Review	823
Notes and Questions	825
E. Review of Judgments Outside of the Appeal Process	828
Chapter 15 • Alternative Models of Dispute Resolution	831
A. Introduction and Integration	831
B. Models of Greater Judicial Control	831
John H. Langbein, <i>The German Advantage in Civil Procedure</i>	831
Notes and Questions	842
<i>Sempier v. Johnson & Higgins</i>	842
Notes and Questions	848
C. Models of Non-Judicial Resolution	851
1. Brief Overview	851
2. Court-Annexed Versus Contractual ADR	852
3. Expansion of Contractual Arbitration	852
4. Dispute Resolution Without Adjudication	854
Hiroshi Wagatsuma & Arthur Rosett, <i>The Implications of Apology:</i>	
<i>Law and Culture in Japan and in the United States</i>	854
Notes and Questions	859
Table of Cases	865
Table of Scholarly Commentary	883
Index	901

Preface

Civil Procedure is a challenging course both for students and teachers. Of all the first year subjects, it is the most alien to students' pre-law school lives. As a result, the course sometimes seems to students to be unconnected to the "real world." Ironically, of all the first year courses, Civil Procedure is the most connected to the "real world" of what lawyers do. Graduates routinely report that Civil Procedure is central to their work.

Thus one challenge for professors (and casebook authors) is to bridge the gap in student experience. The book addresses this issue by including many problems and hypotheticals which are intended to make the material more concrete. We also include notes and questions that explore the strategic and ethical choices that real lawyers face.

A second challenge is that the course includes significant amounts of detail, but at the same time raises such fundamental questions as the role of justice, fairness and efficiency in the adjudication of rights. Students sometimes miss the richness of the course because they fail to see how its various aspects fit together — they may come away with a knowledge of individual trees but not an overall sense of the forest. This book seeks to avoid that result by stressing integration. The chapters are arranged in related blocks and each chapter begins with a section called "Introduction and Integration" which provides an overview and indicates how the section fits with other topics.

In some areas, we have arranged material differently from what seems to be the common approach. We do this to facilitate the integrative function. The first part of the book addresses where litigation can proceed and includes personal jurisdiction, subject matter jurisdiction, and venue. We have also included notice and service of process in this part because of its close relationship to personal jurisdiction.

Next, the book moves to the phases of a lawsuit — pleading, discovery, and adjudication (with and without a jury). Joinder is covered later because we do not believe this topic is necessary to understanding the basic steps of litigation and, by delaying it, we can cover it with the related issues of preclusion. Covering pleading and discovery back-to-back highlights that they are both methods of information exchange. The chapter on adjudication includes both summary judgment and judgment as a matter of law. We place the *Erie* chapter after the chapter on adjudication. We believe students may better understand *Gasperini* if they have studied Rule 59.

Next are three chapters on preclusion and joinder. We view them as a unit on “packaging” of litigation. We begin with preclusion. That chapter, which explores the goals of efficiency and finality, lays the foundation for the joinder chapters. Although we introduce supplemental jurisdiction briefly in the chapter on subject matter jurisdiction, we defer detailed analysis until the joinder chapters. This seems particularly necessary because students cannot understand § 1367 without first studying the joinder rules. Following joinder, we address appeals.

This course stresses civil procedure as part of the litigation process — a publicly funded system of dispute resolution. We feel that students should consider whether the litigation system is a good way to resolve disputes. The last chapter of the book raises questions about alternative dispute resolution and comparative law. We feel that these issues are well treated at the end of the course, after the students have seen the litigation process fully.

Recent years have seen remarkable change in civil procedure. Much of this has been generated by the Supreme Court. In 2011, the Court returned to personal jurisdiction for the first time since 1990 with two major decisions: *J. McIntyre Machinery Ltd. v. Nicastro*, 131 S. Ct. 2780 (2011), which embraced a cramped view of specific jurisdiction, and *Goodyear Dunlop Tires Operations, S.A. v. Brown*, 131 S. Ct. 2846 (2011), which suggested major retrenchment in general jurisdiction. The Court confirmed the retrenchment of general jurisdiction in *Daimler AG v. Bauman*, 134 S. Ct. 746 (2014) and offered its first discussion since 1984 of “effects” jurisdiction with *Walden v. Fiore*, 134 S. Ct. 1115 (2014). In subject matter jurisdiction, the Court refined federal question jurisdiction over state-law claims in *Gunn v. Minton*, 133 S. Ct. 1059 (2013) and clarified removal procedure in *Dart Cherokee Basin Operating Co., LLC, v. Owens*, 135 S. Ct. 547 (2014). *Atlantic Marine Construction Co., Inc. v. U.S. District Court*, 134 S. Ct. 568 (2013), is a major decision concerning enforceability of forum selection clauses through transfer under 28 U.S.C. § 1404(a). In pleadings, the Court makes an important distinction between legal and factual sufficiency in *Johnson v. City of Shelby*, 134 S. Ct. 346 (2014), which may moderate the impact of *Twombly* and *Iqbal*. In *Tolan v. Cotton*, 134 S. Ct. 1861 (2014), the Court appears to give force to the hackneyed saying that a judge ruling on summary judgment must view evidence in the light most favorable to the nonmoving party.

The Court has continued its remarkable interest in the class action. *Comcast Corp. v. Behrend*, 133 S. Ct. 1426 (2013) is an important decision concerning certification of damages classes under Rule 23(b)(3). On the heels of *Wal-Mart Stores, Inc. v. Dukes*, 131 S. Ct. 2541 (2011), it front-loads a great deal of litigation into the certification stage. Yet, in securities fraud cases, the Court has rejected some efforts to require substantive showings at the certification stage. See, e.g., *Amgen v. Connecticut Retirement Plans*, 133 S. Ct. 1184 (2013). The Court has also continued its embrace of arbitration. In *American Express Co. v. Italian Colors Restaurant*, 133 S. Ct. 2304 (2013), it upheld a form contractual waiver of class arbitration even though the cost of pursuing individual litigation would be prohibitive. The decision, following AT&T

Mobility LLC v. Concepcion, 131 S. Ct. 1740 (2011), which reached the same conclusion in a consumer class action, raises significant questions of access to justice.

The Rules Advisory Committee has been active as well. This edition addresses the amendments going into effect December 1, 2015. Principal among these is the change to the scope of discoverability, which moves “proportionality” to center stage as part of the definition of what information may be discovered. Reflecting its increasing importance, we have expanded the discussion of discovery of electronically stored information, ESI, including the newly-promulgated version of Rule 36(e) regarding preservation of ESI.

Finally, though Congress has not been active in federal jurisdiction or procedure in recent years, its broad changes to removal jurisdiction and venue in the Jurisdiction and Venue Clarification Act of 2011 have now generated case law worthy of discussion in this edition. In particular, we note the emerging split of authority regarding whether the legislative abolition of the “local action” rule affected venue or subject matter jurisdiction.

Notes on Form

We indicate textual deletions from opinions and other materials by “* * *.” We have not noted deletions of citations from opinions. Our additions to cases are enclosed in brackets. Our footnotes are denoted by asterisks. We have retained the original numbering of footnotes appearing in opinions. We have adopted a short form of citing the several classic treatises to which we refer throughout the book. With apologies to the contributing authors on the two standard multi-volume treatises, we refer to them, respectively, as MOORE’S FEDERAL PRACTICE AND WRIGHT & MILLER, FEDERAL PRACTICE AND PROCEDURE. CHARLES ALAN WRIGHT & MARY KAY KANE, LAW OF FEDERAL COURTS (7th ed. 2011) is cited WRIGHT & KANE, FEDERAL COURTS; and RICHARD D. FREER, CIVIL PROCEDURE (3d ed. 2012) is cited FREER, CIVIL PROCEDURE.

Acknowledgments

Acknowledgments by Professor Freer

My friends and Emory colleagues Tom Arthur, Robert Schapiro, and George Shepherd have contributed greatly to the development of this book. Beyond the Emory community, we are in the particular debt of Colleen Murphy, Mike Vitiello, and Jim Duane, who have gone far beyond the call of friendship in offering suggestions. And we have benefited greatly from contributions by Vince Alexander, John Beckerman, Lenni Benson, Debra Cohen, Stan Cox, Jeff Dobbins, Charlotte Goldberg, Heather Kolinsky, Glenn Koppel, Ben Madison, and Rocky Rhodes.

I acknowledge with gratitude the generosity of the late Judge Robert Howell Hall, who endowed the professorship which I am honored to hold. I am grateful for the continued support of Emory University School of Law. And, as with all my work, I remain indebted to Louise, Collin, and Courtney.

Acknowledgments by Dean Perdue

I am grateful for the support I have received from my colleagues over the years, particularly those who share my passion for procedure and have offered invaluable ideas and encouragement on this and earlier editions. That group includes Sherman Cohn, Carrie Menkel-Meadow, Naomi Mezey, Nina Pillard, Phil Schrag, and David Vladeck.

My deepest thanks go to my family, David, Bill, and Ben. Although my sons occasionally questioned my choice of topic (“Why don’t you do something useful—like write a book about baseball?”), and my efficiency (“You’re not done yet?”), their love and good humor were essential to keeping my sanity and perspective.

We acknowledge with gratitude the numerous helpful suggestions from users of prior editions. We also acknowledge the permission of the following copyright holders to quote material contained in the book. Any errors that occurred in editing or reprinting are our responsibility, not that of the copyright holder:

Hoffman, Morris, *Ten Trial Mistakes*, *The Docket*, Spring 1994 at 10. Copyright © 1994 by the National Institute for Trial Advocacy. Reprinted by permission.

Langbein, John, *The German Advantage in Civil Procedure*, 52 *U. Chi. L. Rev.* 823-866 (1985). Copyright © 1985 by the University of Chicago. Reprinted by permission.

Mezibov, Marc, and H. Louis Sirkin, *The Mapplethorpe Obscenity Trial*, Litig., Summer 1992, at 12, 13-15, 71. Copyright © 1992 by the American Bar Association. Reprinted by permission.

Wagatsuma, Hiroshi, and Arthur Rosett, *The Implications of Apology: Law and Culture in Japan and the United States*, 20 *Law and Society Review* 461-495 (1986). Copyright © 1986 by the Law and Society Association. Reprinted by permission.