

# EVIDENTIARY FOUNDATIONS Ninth Edition

# LexisNexis Law School Publishing Advisory Board

---

**Paul Caron**

*Professor of Law*  
Pepperdine University School of Law  
*Herzog Summer Visiting Professor in Taxation*  
University of San Diego School of Law

**Bridgette Carr**

*Clinical Professor of Law*  
University of Michigan Law School

**Olympia Duhart**

*Professor of Law and Director of Lawyering Skills & Values Program*  
Nova Southeastern University, Shepard Broad Law School

**Samuel Estreicher**

*Dwight D. Opperman Professor of Law*  
*Director, Center for Labor and Employment Law*  
NYU School of Law

**Steven I. Friedland**

*Professor of Law and Senior Scholar*  
Elon University School of Law

**Carole Goldberg**

*Jonathan D. Varat Distinguished Professor of Law*  
UCLA School of Law

**Oliver Goodenough**

*Professor of Law*  
Vermont Law School

**Paul Marcus**

*Haynes Professor of Law*  
William and Mary Law School

**John Sprankling**

*Distinguished Professor of Law*  
McGeorge School of Law

# EVIDENTIARY FOUNDATIONS

---

*Ninth Edition*

*EDWARD J. IMWINKELRIED*

Edward L. Barrett, Jr. Professor of Law Emeritus

University of California at Davis School of Law

2015

ISBN: 978-1-63281-546-0 (softbound)

ISBN: 978-1-63281-547-7 (ebook)

**Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data**

**Imwinkelried, Edward J., author.**

**Evidentiary foundations / Edward J. Imwinkelried, Edward L. Barrett, Jr. Professor of Law Emeritus, University of California at Davis School of Law. — Ninth edition.**

**pages cm**

Includes index.

ISBN 978-1-63281-483-8 (hardbound)

1. Evidence (Law)—United States. I. Title.

KF8935.I45 2015

347.73'6--dc23

2014037625

This publication is designed to provide accurate and authoritative information in regard to the subject matter covered. It is sold with the understanding that the publisher is not engaged in rendering legal, accounting, or other professional services. If legal advice or other expert assistance is required, the services of a competent professional should be sought.

LexisNexis and the Knowledge Burst logo are registered trademarks and Michie is a trademark of Reed Elsevier Properties Inc., used under license. Matthew Bender and the Matthew Bender Flame Design are registered trademarks of Matthew Bender Properties Inc.

Copyright © 2014 Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.  
All Rights Reserved.

No copyright is claimed in the text of statutes, regulations, and excerpts from court opinions quoted within this work. Permission to copy material exceeding fair use, 17 U.S.C. § 107, may be licensed for a fee of 25¢ per page per copy from the Copyright Clearance Center, 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, Mass. 01923, telephone (978) 750-8400.

Editorial Offices  
630 Central Ave., New Providence, NJ 07974 (908) 464-6800  
201 Mission St., San Francisco, CA 94105-1831 (415) 908-3200  
[www.lexisnexis.com](http://www.lexisnexis.com)

MATTHEW  BENDER

## *Dedication*

---

I would like to dedicate my work on this text to:  
Cindy, Marie, Ken, and Kindra; my parents, the late Enes and John Imwinkelried; and my  
parents-in-law, the late Lyman (Brownie) and Mary Jane Clark.



# Acknowledgments

---

The author would like to express his thanks to: Mr. Jay Paik, class of 2003, University of California, at Davis Law School, who proofread the manuscript for the fifth edition; Ms. Ryan Hall, class of 1998, University of California, at Davis Law School, who proofread the manuscript for the fourth edition; Ms. Kristin Lucey, class of 1996, University of California, at Davis Law School, who researched and proofread the manuscript for the third edition; Mr. Ronald Richards, class of 1989, University of California, at Davis Law School, who researched and proofread the manuscript for the first edition; Glenda McGlashan, Jessica Drushell, Cynthia Coble, Kristi Case, Diane Monheit, Helen Forsyth, Berta Lewin, Paula Buchignani, and Kathy Houston, who typed the manuscript; and his colleagues, Richard C. Wydick, James E. Hogan, Michael Graham, John O'Brien, David Schlueter, Thomas Stewart, Sam Stonefield, and Dr. Marty Greer, DVM. In 1988, Professors Wydick and Hogan helped the author prepare a special California edition of *Evidentiary Foundations*; and in 1994 and 2000, we prepared the second and third editions of that text. Their comments on the manuscripts for *California Evidentiary Foundations* were instrumental in preparing this edition of *Evidentiary Foundations*. Professor Graham led the teams which prepared the special Florida and Illinois editions. Whenever the technology advances, Professor Graham prods me to keep this text at the cutting edge. He has inspired many of the improvements in this text. Professor Schlueter leads the teams which prepared the Texas and military editions. He was especially helpful in drafting the new foundation for STR DNA typing. Professor Stonefield was kind enough to give the fifth edition of this text a very close read and made several acute suggestions for improving the text. Dr. Greer made several excellent suggestions for improving and expanding the X-ray foundation in the seventh edition. Professor David Schlueter, my coauthor on *Texas* and *Military Evidentiary Foundations*, made several suggestions for additions to the eighth edition. Professors O'Brien and Stewart prepared the new edition of *Missouri Evidentiary Foundations*, which included several revised foundations.



# *Table of Contents*

A DETAILED SYNOPSIS FOR EACH CHAPTER APPEARS AT THE BEGINNING OF THE CHAPTER

## **Chapter 1                    INTRODUCTION**

---

- § 1.01     Introduction
- § 1.02     Laying a Foundation—In General
  - [1]        Legal Rules
  - [2]        Practical Rules
- § 1.03     Laying a Foundation on Direct Examination
  - [1]        In General
  - [2]        Legal Rules
  - [3]        Practical Rules
- § 1.04     Laying a Foundation on Cross-Examination
  - [1]        In General
  - [2]        Legal Rules
  - [3]        Practical Rules

## **Chapter 2                    RELATED PROCEDURES**

---

- § 2.01     Introduction
- § 2.02     Pretrial Motion *In Limine* by the Opponent
  - [1]        The Doctrine
  - [2]        Elements of a Motion *In Limine*
  - [3]        Sample Motion *In Limine*
- § 2.03     Objections at the Trial by the Opponent
  - [1]        The Doctrine
  - [2]        Elements of an Objection
  - [3]        Sample Objections
- § 2.04     Motions to Strike at Trial by the Opponent
  - [1]        The Doctrine
  - [2]        Elements of a Motion to Strike
  - [3]        Sample Motion to Strike
- § 2.05     Request by the Opponent to Take a Witness on Voir Dire
  - [1]        The Doctrine
  - [2]        Sample Request to Take a Witness on Voir Dire
- § 2.06     Offer of Proof by the Proponent
  - [1]        The Doctrine
  - [2]        Elements of an Offer of Proof
  - [3]        Sample Offer of Proof

---

## *Table of Contents*

---

### **Chapter 3                    THE COMPETENCY OF WITNESSES**

---

- § 3.01     Introduction
- § 3.02     The Voir Dire of a Child by the Witness's Proponent
  - [1]        The Doctrine
  - [2]        Elements of the Foundation
  - [3]        Sample Foundation
- § 3.03     The Questioning of a Lay Witness by the Proponent to Demonstrate Personal Knowledge
  - [1]        The Doctrine
  - [2]        Elements of the Foundation
  - [3]        Sample Foundation
- § 3.04     The Opponent's Presentation of Psychiatric Testimony Attacking a Prospective Witness's Competency
  - [1]        The Doctrine
  - [2]        Elements of the Foundation
  - [3]        Sample Foundation
- § 3.05     The Witness Spouse
  - [1]        The Doctrine
  - [2]        Elements of the Foundation
  - [3]        Sample Foundation
- § 3.06     Dead Persons' Statutes or Survivors' Evidence Acts
  - [1]        The Doctrine
  - [2]        Elements of the Foundation
  - [3]        Sample Foundations

---

### **Chapter 4                    AUTHENTICATION**

---

- § 4.01     Authentication in General
  - [1]        Introduction
  - [2]        Authentication in Traditional Courtrooms
  - [3]        Authentication in Computerized Courtrooms
    - [a]        In General
    - [b]        The Presentation of an Unmarked Hard Copy by Using a Document Camera
    - [c]        The Presentation of a Premarked Exhibit by Using a Document Camera
    - [d]        The Presentation of Digitized Exhibits Loaded Onto a Computer
- § 4.02     The Authentication of Private Writings
  - [1]        Writings also Raise Issues of Best Evidence and Hearsay
  - [2]        Testimony of a Witness Who Observed the Document's Execution
  - [3]        Testimony of a Witness Familiar With the Author's Handwriting Style
  - [4]        The Reply Letter Doctrine
  - [5]        A Comparison by an Expert Questioned Document Examiner

---

## *Table of Contents*

[6]	A Printout From a Social Media Profile Page
[7]	Ancient Writings
§ 4.03	The Authentication of Business Writings
[1]	Conventional Business Writings
[2]	Computer Records
[3]	“Faxed” Documents
[4]	E(lectronic)-Mail
[a]	Overview
[b]	Evidentiary Doctrines and Foundational Elements
[c]	Sample Foundations
[i]	In General
[ii]	Chain of Custody Handling Testimony by an Employee of an E-Mail Service
[iii]	Testimony about a Digital Signature
[5]	Scanned Writings
[6]	Information Posted at A Business’ Web Site
[7]	Self-Authenticating Business Records
§ 4.04	The Authentication of Official Writings
[1]	The Doctrine
[2]	Elements of the Foundation
[3]	Sample Foundation
§ 4.05	The Authentication of Oral Statements
[1]	In General
[2]	Lay Opinion Testimony of a Witness Familiar with the Speaker’s Voice
[3]	The Telephone Directory Doctrine
[4]	Testimony by a Voiceprint or Sound Spectrography Expert
[5]	Caller Identification
[a]	The Doctrine
[b]	Elements of the Foundation
[c]	Sample Foundation
§ 4.06	Audio Recordings
[1]	The Doctrine
[2]	Elements of the Foundation
[3]	Sample Foundations
§ 4.07	Demonstrative Evidence
[1]	In General
[2]	Verification of a Diagram
[3]	Marking a Diagram
[4]	Models
§ 4.08	The Identification of Real or Original Physical Evidence
[1]	The Doctrine

---

## *Table of Contents*

- [2] Elements of the Foundation
- [3] Sample Foundations
- § 4.09 The Verification of Photographs
  - [1] Still Photographs
  - [2] Motion Pictures and Videotapes
  - [3] X-Rays
  - [4] Automated Surveillance Cameras
  - [5] Computer Animations and Simulations
    - [a] In General
    - [b] Illustrative Evidence
    - [c] Substantive Evidence
  - [6] Enhanced Photographs
- § 4.10 The Validation of Scientific Evidence
  - [1] The Doctrine
  - [2] Elements of the Foundation
  - [3] Sample Foundation

## **Chapter 5                    RULE 403 AND LEGAL RELEVANCE LIMITATIONS ON CREDIBILITY EVIDENCE**

---

- PART A. Introduction
- § 5.01 Overview
- § 5.02 The Stages of Credibility Analysis
- PART B. Bolstering Witnesses Before Impeachment
- § 5.03 Prior Identification
  - [1] The Doctrine
  - [2] Elements of the Foundation
  - [3] Sample Foundation
- § 5.04 Fresh Complaint
  - [1] The Doctrine
  - [2] Elements of the Foundation
  - [3] Sample Foundation
- PART C. Impeachment of Witnesses
- § 5.05 Bad Acts That Have Not Resulted in a Conviction
  - [1] The Doctrine
  - [2] Elements of the Foundation
  - [3] Sample Foundation
- § 5.06 Proof of the Character Trait of Untruthfulness
  - [1] The Doctrine
  - [2] Elements of the Foundation
  - [3] Sample Foundations

---

## *Table of Contents*

- § 5.07 Proof That the Witness Has Failed a Polygraph Examination
  - [1] The Doctrine
  - [2] Elements of the Foundation
  - [3] Sample Foundation
- § 5.08 Proof that Another Witness Specifically Contradicts the Testimony of the Witness to Be Impeached
- § 5.09 Proof that the Witness Made a Prior Inconsistent Statement
  - [1] In General
  - [2] Cross-Examination to Elicit a Witness's Concession that the Witness Earlier Made an Inconsistent Statement
  - [3] Extrinsic Evidence of a Prior Inconsistent Statement
  - [4] Evidence of the Witness's Failure to Mention a Fact in a Prior Statement
- § 5.10 Proof that the Witness has Suffered a Conviction
  - [1] The Doctrine
  - [2] Elements of the Foundation
  - [3] Sample Foundations
- § 5.11 Proof that the Witness Is Biased
  - [1] The Doctrine
  - [2] Elements of the Foundation
  - [3] Sample Foundations
- § 5.12 Proof that the Witness Is Deficient in an Element of Competency
  - [1] The Doctrine
  - [2] Elements of the Foundation
  - [3] Sample Foundations

### PART D. Rehabilitation of Witnesses After Impeachment

- § 5.13 Prior Consistent Statement
  - [1] The Doctrine
  - [2] Elements of the Foundation
  - [3] Sample Foundation
- § 5.14 Proof of the Character Trait of Truthfulness
  - [1] The Doctrine
  - [2] Elements of the Foundation
  - [3] Sample Foundation

---

## **Chapter 6                      LEGAL RELEVANCE LIMITATIONS ON EVIDENCE                                          THAT IS RELEVANT TO THE HISTORICAL MERITS OF                                          THE CASE**

---

- § 6.01 Introduction
- § 6.02 Character Evidence
  - [1] Overview
  - [2] Reputation Character Evidence

---

## *Table of Contents*

[3]	Opinion Character Evidence
[4]	Proof of Character by Specific Instances of Conduct
[5]	Cross-Examination of a Character Witness
§ 6.03	Habit Evidence
[1]	The Doctrine
[2]	Elements of the Foundation
[3]	Sample Foundation
§ 6.04	Other Crimes or Uncharged Misconduct Evidence
[1]	The Doctrine
[2]	Elements of the Foundation
[3]	Sample Foundation
§ 6.05	Uncharged Misconduct Evidence in Civil Actions
[1]	The Doctrine
[2]	Elements of the Foundation
[3]	Sample Foundation
§ 6.06	Similar Happenings Evidence
[1]	The Doctrine
[2]	Elements of the Foundation
[3]	Sample Foundation
§ 6.07	In-Court Exhibitions
§ 6.08	In-Court Demonstrations
§ 6.09	Liability Insurance

---

## **Chapter 7                      PRIVILEGES AND SIMILAR DOCTRINES**

---

§ 7.01	Introduction
§ 7.02	Privileges for Confidential Relations—In General
§ 7.03	Privileges for Confidential Information—Invoking a Privilege
[1]	Elements of the Foundation
[2]	Sample Foundation
§ 7.04	Privileges for Confidential Relations—Defeating a Privilege by Proving Waiver
[1]	In General
[2]	In-Court Waiver
[3]	Out-Of-Court Waiver
§ 7.05	Privileges for Confidential Relations—Defeating a Privilege by Proving a Special Exception
[1]	In General
[2]	At the Time of the Communication, the Holder Sought the Information to Facilitate a Future Crime or Fraud
[3]	The Joint Consultation Situation
[4]	The Patient-Litigant Exception

---

## *Table of Contents*

- § 7.06 The Work Product Protection
  - [1] The Conditional Work Product Protection
  - [2] The Absolute Work Product Protection
- § 7.07 Government Privileges—Military and State Secrets
  - [1] The Doctrine
  - [2] Elements of the Foundation
  - [3] Sample Foundation
- § 7.08 Government Privileges—Minor Government Secrets
  - [1] The Doctrine
  - [2] Elements of the Foundation
  - [3] Sample Foundations
- § 7.09 Government Privileges—An Informant’s Identity
  - [1] The Doctrine
  - [2] Elements of the Foundation
  - [3] Sample Foundation
- § 7.10 Subsequent Repairs
  - [1] The Doctrine
  - [2] Elements of the Foundation
  - [3] Sample Foundations
- § 7.11 Compromise Statements
  - [1] Statements During Civil Settlement Negotiations
  - [2] Statements During Plea Bargaining Negotiations

---

## **Chapter 8 THE BEST EVIDENCE RULE**

- § 8.01 Introduction
- § 8.02 The Object Involved Is Not a “Writing”
- § 8.03 The Writing’s Terms Are Not “In Issue”
- § 8.04 The Writing’s Terms Are Only Collaterally “In Issue”
- § 8.05 The Evidence Offered Is an “Original”
  - [1] The Doctrine
  - [2] Elements of the Foundation
  - [3] Sample Foundation
- § 8.06 The Evidence Offered Is a “Duplicate”
  - [1] The Doctrine
  - [2] Elements of the Foundation
  - [3] Sample Foundations
- § 8.07 Admissible Types of Secondary Evidence
  - [1] In General
  - [2] An Authenticated Copy
  - [3] Oral Recollection Testimony
- § 8.08 Excuses for Non-Production

---

## *Table of Contents*

- [1] In General
- [2] The Proponent Lost the Original
- [3] The Proponent Innocently Destroyed the Original
- [4] The Original Is in Official Custody
- [5] The Original Is in the Possession of a Third Party Beyond the Reach of the Court's Compulsory Process
- [6] The Party-Opponent has Possession of the Original and Fails to Produce It After Notice to Produce
- [7] Voluminous or Bulky Records

---

### **Chapter 9                    OPINION EVIDENCE**

- § 9.01 Introduction
- § 9.02 Lay Opinion Testimony
  - [1] In General
  - [2] Collective Fact Opinions
  - [3] Skilled Lay Observer Testimony
  - [4] Owners' Opinions about the Value of Their Property
- § 9.03 Expert Opinion Testimony
  - [1] In General
  - [2] The Expert's Qualifications to Form the Opinion
  - [3] The General Theory or Principle the Expert Relies On
  - [4] The Factual Bases of the Expert's Opinion
    - [a] Overview
    - [b] Facts the Expert Personally Knows
    - [c] Secondhand Reports From Third Parties
    - [d] Assumed Facts
  - [5] The Statement of the Opinion Itself
  - [6] The Explanation of the Opinion
- § 9.04 Evidence of an Out-of-Court Experiment
  - [1] The Doctrine
  - [2] Elements of the Foundation
  - [3] Sample Foundation

---

### **Chapter 10                THE HEARSAY RULE, ITS EXEMPTIONS, AND ITS EXCEPTIONS**

- PART A. Introduction
- § 10.01 Overview
- PART B. Hearsay
- § 10.02 The Definition of Hearsay
  - [1] In General

---

## *Table of Contents*

[2]	The Evidence Is an Assertive Statement or Act
[a]	Assertive Statements
[b]	Assertive Acts
[c]	Nonassertive Acts
[3]	The Statement Is Offered for a Hearsay Purpose
[a]	Overview
[b]	The Statement Is Circumstantial Proof of the Declarant's State of Mind—Mental Output
[c]	The Statement Is an Operative Fact or Verbal Act
[d]	The Effect of the Statement on the Mind of the Hearer or Reader—Mental Input
[4]	The Statement Was Not Made by a Human Declarant
[5]	The Statement Was Made or the Act Performed by a Person Still Considered an Out-Of-Court Declarant
PART C. The Admissions Exemption Requiring a Showing of Neither Reliability Nor Necessity	
§ 10.03	The Exemption for Statements or Admissions of A Party-Opponent
[1]	In General
[2]	Personal Admissions
[a]	Overview
[b]	In Civil Cases
[c]	In Criminal Cases
[3]	Adoptive Admissions
[a]	Overview
[b]	Express Adoption
[c]	Implied Adoption by Silence—"Tacit Admission"
[4]	Vicarious Admissions
[a]	In Civil Cases
[b]	In Criminal Cases
PART D. Hearsay Exceptions Based Primarily on a Showing of Reliability	
§ 10.04	In General
§ 10.05	Business Entries
[1]	The Doctrine
[2]	Elements of the Foundation
[3]	Sample Foundation
§ 10.06	Official Records
[1]	The Doctrine
[2]	Elements of the Foundation
[3]	Sample Foundations
§ 10.07	Past Recollection Recorded and Present Recollection Refreshed or Revived

---

## *Table of Contents*

[1]	Past Recollection Recorded
[2]	Present Recollection Refreshed or Revived
§ 10.08	Learned Treatises
[1]	The Doctrine
[2]	Elements of the Foundation
[3]	Sample Foundation
§ 10.09	Excited or Startled Utterances
[1]	The Doctrine
[2]	Elements of the Foundation
[3]	Sample Foundation
§ 10.10	Present Sense Impressions or Contemporaneous Statements
[1]	The Doctrine
[2]	Elements of the Foundation
[3]	Sample Foundation
§ 10.11	Declarations of State of Mind or Emotion
[1]	Offered to Prove State of Mind or Emotion
[2]	Offered to Prove Subsequent Conduct
§ 10.12	Declarations of Bodily Condition
[1]	Present Bodily Condition
[2]	Past Bodily Condition
[3]	External Cause or Source
PART E. Hearsay Exceptions Based on a Showing of Unavailability	
§ 10.13	In General
§ 10.14	The Unavailability of the Declarant at Trial
[1]	At Common Law and by Statute
[2]	As a Matter of Constitutional Law
§ 10.15	Declarations Against Interest
[1]	The Doctrine
[2]	Elements of the Foundation
[3]	Sample Foundation
§ 10.16	Dying Declarations
[1]	The Doctrine
[2]	Elements of the Foundation
[3]	Sample Foundation
§ 10.17	Former or Prior Testimony
[1]	The Doctrine
[2]	Elements of the Foundation
[3]	Sample Foundation
§ 10.18	Forfeiture by Wrongdoing
[1]	The Doctrine
[2]	Elements of the Foundation

---

## *Table of Contents*

[3] Sample Foundation

PART F. The Residual Hearsay Exception Based on Showings of Reliability and Necessity

§ 10.19 The Residual Hearsay Exception

[1] The Doctrine

[2] Elements of the Foundation

[3] Sample Foundation

---

## **Chapter 11 SUBSTITUTES FOR EVIDENCE**

§ 11.01 Introduction

§ 11.02 Stipulations

[1] The Doctrine

[2] Elements of the Foundation

[3] Sample Foundations

§ 11.03 Judicial Notice

[1] The Doctrine

[2] Sample Foundations

§ 11.04 Jury Views

[1] The Doctrine

[2] Elements of the Foundation

[3] Sample Foundation

---

## **INDEX**

---

