

TEXAS CIVIL PROCEDURE:  
TRIAL AND APPELLATE  
PRACTICE  
*2015–2016 Edition*

# LexisNexis Law School Publishing Advisory Board

---

**Paul Caron**

*Professor of Law*  
Pepperdine University School of Law

**Bridgette Carr**

*Clinical Professor of Law*  
University of Michigan Law School

**Steven I. Friedland**

*Professor of Law and Senior Scholar*  
Elon University School of Law

**Carole Goldberg**

*Jonathan D. Varat Distinguished Professor of Law*  
UCLA School of Law

**Oliver Goodenough**

*Professor of Law*  
Vermont Law School

**John Sprankling**

*Distinguished Professor of Law*  
McGeorge School of Law

# TEXAS CIVIL PROCEDURE: TRIAL AND APPELLATE PRACTICE

---

*2015–2016 Edition*

**William V. Dorsaneo III**

Chief Justice John and Lena Hickman Distinguished Faculty Fellow and Professor  
of Law

Dedman School of Law Southern Methodist University

**Elizabeth G. Thornburg**

Professor of Law

Dedman School of Law Southern Methodist University

**Elaine Grafton Carlson**

Stanley J. Krist Distinguished Professor of Texas Law

South Texas College of Law

**David Crump**

John B. Neibel Professor of Law

University of Houston

Texas Civil Procedure: Trial and Appellate Practice

ISSN: 2159-4090 (print)

ISBN: 978-1-6328-2447-9

ISBN:978-1-6328-2448-6 (eBook)

This publication is designed to provide authoritative information in regard to the subject matter covered. It is sold with the understanding that the publisher is not engaged in rendering legal, accounting, or other professional services. If legal advice or other expert assistance is required, the services of a competent professional should be sought.

LexisNexis and the Knowledge Burst logo are registered trademarks of Reed Elsevier Properties Inc., used under license. Matthew Bender and the Matthew Bender Flame Design are registered trademarks of Matthew Bender Properties Inc.

Copyright © 2015 Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of LexisNexis. All Rights Reserved.

No copyright is claimed by LexisNexis or Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., in the text of statutes, regulations, and excerpts from court opinions quoted within this work. Permission to copy material may be licensed for a fee from the Copyright Clearance Center, 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, Mass. 01923, telephone (978) 750-8400.

#### NOTE TO USERS

To ensure that you are using the latest materials available in this area, please be sure to periodically check the LexisNexis Law School web site for downloadable updates and supplements at [www.lexisnexis.com/lawschool](http://www.lexisnexis.com/lawschool).

Editorial Offices

630 Central Ave., New Providence, NJ 07974 (908) 464-6800

201 Mission St., San Francisco, CA 94105-1831 (415) 908-3200

[www.lexisnexis.com](http://www.lexisnexis.com)

MATTHEW  BENDER

**TABLE OF PRACTICE EXERCISES**

Practice Exercise #1	29
Practice Exercise #2	38
Practice Exercise #3	45
Practice Exercise #4	98
Practice Exercise #5	127
Practice Exercise #6	195
Practice Exercise #7	253
Practice Exercise #8	311
Practice Exercise #9	338
Practice Exercise #10	367
Practice Exercise #11	432
Practice Exercise #12	441
Practice Exercise #13	483
Practice Exercise #14	518
Practice Exercise #15	605
Practice Exercise #16	624
Practice Exercise #17	714
Practice Exercise #18	735
Practice Exercise #19	742
Practice Exercise #20	786



# Table of Contents

	<b>Table of Practice Exercises</b> .....	<b>iii</b>
	<b>Dedication</b> .....	<b>xxi</b>
	<b>Introduction</b> .....	<b>xxiii</b>
<b>Chapter 1</b>	<b>PRETRIAL PREPARATION AND MOTION PRACTICE</b> ...	<b>1</b>
§ 1.01	SCHEDULING THE TRIAL .....	1
[A]	Setting the Case for Trial in Accordance With Local Rules .....	1
[1]	Trial Settings .....	1
[a]	Methods of Setting for Trial .....	1
[b]	Consequences of Failure to Set Case for Trial .....	2
[c]	Notice of Setting for Trial .....	2
[d]	Expedited Trial Settings .....	3
[e]	Preferential Settings .....	3
[2]	Announcements at Docket Calls .....	4
[a]	Generally .....	4
[b]	Consequences of Failure to Announce .....	4
[3]	Dismissals for Want of Prosecution .....	5
	VILLARREAL v. SAN ANTONIO TRUCK & EQUIPMENT .....	5
	NOTES AND QUESTIONS .....	8
	SMITH v. BABCOCK & WILCOX CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. ...	11
	NOTES AND QUESTIONS .....	12
[B]	Continuances .....	14
[1]	Continuance for Absence of Counsel: The Scheduling Dilemma .....	14
[a]	Conflicting Engagements .....	14
	OATES v. OATES .....	15
	REYNA v. REYNA .....	16
	NOTES AND QUESTIONS .....	17
[b]	Withdrawal of Counsel .....	17
	VILLEGAS v. CARTER .....	17
	NOTES AND QUESTIONS .....	19
[2]	Continuance for Want of Testimony (Missing Witness) .....	20
	BURKE v. SCOTT .....	20
	NOTES AND QUESTIONS .....	22
[3]	Continuance for Absence of a Party .....	25
	NOTES AND QUESTIONS .....	25
[4]	Legislative Continuance .....	27
	PRACTICE EXERCISE #1 .....	29

---

## Table of Contents

[5]	Expedited Actions	30
§ 1.02	OBTAINING A TRIAL BY JURY	30
[A]	The Right to a Jury Trial in Texas	30
	STATE OF TEXAS v. CREDIT BUREAU OF LAREDO, INC.	30
	STATE v. LANDRY	34
	NOTES AND QUESTIONS	36
	PRACTICE EXERCISE #2	38
[B]	Jury Fee, Demand, and Waiver	39
	HALSELL v. DEHOYOS	40
	SIX FLAGS OVER TEXAS, INC. v. PARKER	41
	NOTES AND QUESTIONS	43
[C]	Do You Really Want to Demand a Jury? The Choice Between Trial by Jury and Trial to the Court	43
	WILLIAM V. DORSANEO III, TEXAS LITIGATION GUIDE	44
	PRACTICE EXERCISE #3	45
§ 1.03	THE MOTION <i>IN LIMINE</i> AND OTHER MOTIONS ON THE EVE OF TRIAL	45
[A]	Nature and Use of the Motion <i>in Limine</i>	45
	WILLIAM V. DORSANEO III, TEXAS LITIGATION GUIDE	45
	ACORD v. GENERAL MOTORS CORP.	47
	TEMPO TAMERS, INC. v. CROW-HOUSTON FOUR, LTD.	47
	NOTES AND QUESTIONS	48
[B]	Practical Use of the Motion <i>in Limine</i>	49
[1]	Deciding Upon Subjects of the Motion; Opposing the Motion	49
	WILLIAM V. DORSANEO III, TEXAS LITIGATION GUIDE	49
[2]	Drafting the Motion	52
	WILLIAM V. DORSANEO III, TEXAS LITIGATION GUIDE	52
	NOTES AND QUESTIONS	54
[C]	Other Motions on the Eve of Trial	54
	NOTE	54
	APPENDIX — JURY TRIALS, TRIAL SETTINGS, CONTINUANCES, MOTIONS IN LIMINE AND THE TEXAS BAR EXAM	56
<b>Chapter 2</b>	<b>JURY SELECTION</b>	<b>61</b>
§ 2.01	SELECTION OF JURY PANEL	61
[A]	Jury Wheel System	61
[B]	Mechanical or Electronic System	62
[C]	Summoning Jurors	62
[D]	Empaneling the Jury	63
[1]	Number of Jurors; Panel Selection	63



---

*Table of Contents*

[2]	Qualifications . . . . .	64
[3]	Exemptions . . . . .	65
[E]	Assignment for Service . . . . .	66
[1]	Interchangeable Juries . . . . .	66
[2]	Juries in Other Counties . . . . .	67
§ 2.02	<b>VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION</b> . . . . .	68
[A]	Purpose and Scope of Examination . . . . .	68
	HYUNDAI MOTOR CO. v. VASQUEZ . . . . .	68
	IN RE COMMITMENT OF HILL . . . . .	82
	NOTES AND QUESTIONS . . . . .	84
[B]	Challenges for Cause . . . . .	87
[1]	Statutory Disqualification Grounds . . . . .	87
[2]	Bias or Prejudice . . . . .	91
	CORTEZ ex rel. PUENTES v. HCCI-SAN ANTONIO, INC. . . . .	91
	EL HAFI v. BAKER . . . . .	97
	PRACTICE EXERCISE #4 . . . . .	98
[3]	Preservation of Error . . . . .	99
	HALLETT v. HOUSTON NORTHWEST MEDICAL CENTER . . . . .	100
	NOTES AND QUESTIONS . . . . .	101
[C]	Peremptory Challenges . . . . .	102
[1]	Properly Allocating the Number of Peremptory Strikes . . . . .	102
	TAMBURELLO v. WELCH . . . . .	102
	PATTERSON DENTAL COMPANY v. DUNN . . . . .	106
	NOTES . . . . .	110
[2]	Constitutionally Flawed Peremptory Challenges . . . . .	112
[a]	Impermissible Bases for Exercising Peremptory Strikes . . . . .	112
	POWERS v. PALACIOS . . . . .	112
	NOTES AND QUESTIONS . . . . .	114
	DAVIS v. FISK ELECTRIC CO. . . . .	115
	NOTES AND QUESTIONS . . . . .	124
[b]	Procedures for Urging <i>Batson</i> Challenges and Burden of Proof . . . . .	124
	NOTES AND QUESTIONS . . . . .	127
	PRACTICE EXERCISE #5 . . . . .	127
§ 2.03	<b>JURY SELECTION IN PRACTICE</b> . . . . .	128
[A]	The Conduct of the <i>Voir Dire</i> Examination in Practice . . . . .	128
	D. CRUMP, ATTORNEYS’ GOALS AND TACTICS IN <i>VOIR DIRE</i> EXAMINATION . . . . .	128
	A SAMPLE <i>VOIR DIRE</i> EXAMINATION: EXAMINATION BY JOSEPH D. JAMAIL IN <i>ASPROMONTE</i> v. <i>GENERAL MOTORS</i> . . . . .	133
	NOTES AND QUESTIONS . . . . .	154

---

*Table of Contents*

[B]	The Exercise of Peremptory Challenges . . . . .	155
	SAMPLE JURY INFORMATION FORMS AND JURY STRIKES: D. CRUMP, <i>THE ANATOMY OF A CIVIL SUIT: OBIEDIO v. J.</i> <i>WEINGARTEN, INC.</i> . . . . .	155
	NOTES AND QUESTIONS . . . . .	165
	APPENDIX — JURY SELECTION AND THE TEXAS BAR EXAM . . . . .	167
<b>Chapter 3</b>	<b>THE TRIAL . . . . .</b>	<b>171</b>
§ 3.01	THE ORDER OF PROCEEDINGS IN A JURY TRIAL . . . . .	171
[A]	The Events in a Trial . . . . .	171
[1]	Empaneling the Jury . . . . .	171
[2]	Opening Statements . . . . .	172
[3]	“The Rule” . . . . .	172
[4]	Order and Nature of Proof . . . . .	172
[5]	The Motion for Instructed Verdict . . . . .	174
[6]	The Jury Charge . . . . .	174
[7]	Jury Argument . . . . .	175
[8]	Jury Deliberations and Receipt of Verdict . . . . .	175
[9]	Judgment and New Trial . . . . .	175
[10]	Summary . . . . .	176
[B]	The Right to Open and Close . . . . .	176
	MONTOYA v. NUECES VACUUM SERVICE, INC. . . . .	176
	NOTES AND QUESTIONS . . . . .	184
§ 3.02	OPENING STATEMENTS . . . . .	185
	RANGER INSURANCE CO. v. ROGERS . . . . .	185
	NOTES AND QUESTIONS . . . . .	186
§ 3.03	INVOKING “THE RULE” . . . . .	187
	DRILEX SYSTEMS, INC. v. FLORES . . . . .	187
	PRACTICE EXERCISE #6 . . . . .	195
§ 3.04	THE MECHANICS OF PRESENTING PROOF . . . . .	196
[A]	Exhibits: Presenting and Excluding Evidence . . . . .	196
	GUETERSLOH v. C.I.T. CORP. . . . .	196
	NOTES AND QUESTIONS . . . . .	197
	DORSANEO & JOHNSON, TEXAS CIVIL TRIAL GUIDE . . . . .	198
[B]	Stipulations . . . . .	202
	JACKSON v. LEWIS . . . . .	202
[C]	Direct Examination of Witnesses: The Format of Making One’s Proof . . . . .	205
	D. CRUMP, SOME PROBLEMS OF DIRECT EXAMINATION AND THEIR SOLUTIONS . . . . .	205

---

*Table of Contents*

	INTRODUCTION TO SAMPLE DIRECT EXAMINATION OF PLAINTIFF AS WITNESS IN <i>OBIEDIO v. J. WEINGARTEN, INC.</i> . . . . .	209
	A SAMPLE WITNESS EXAMINATION: EXCERPTS FROM TESTIMONY OF THE PLAINTIFF, RECORD AT 81–94, <i>OBIEDIO v. J. WEINGARTEN, INC.</i> , . . . . .	210
	NOTES AND QUESTIONS . . . . .	220
[D]	The Relationship Between the Evidence and Instructed Verdict . . . . .	220
	NOTE ON INSTRUCTED VERDICT . . . . .	220
	J. WEINGARTEN, INC. v. OBIEDIO . . . . .	221
[E]	Cross-Examination and Examination of Adverse Parties . . . . .	225
	KIEL v. TEXAS EMPLOYERS INSURANCE ASSOCIATION . . . . .	225
	NOTE . . . . .	226
	TRUCO PROPERTIES, INC. v. CHARLTON . . . . .	227
[F]	Use of Discovery Materials at Trial . . . . .	227
[G]	Use of Opinion Testimony at Trial . . . . .	228
[1]	Overview: Lay and Expert Testimony . . . . .	228
	DORSANEO & JOHNSON, TEXAS CIVIL TRIAL GUIDE . . . . .	228
[2]	The Texas Supreme Court Applies Daubert/Robinson . . . . .	231
	GAMMILL v. JACK WILLIAMS CHEVROLET, INC. . . . .	231
	NOTES AND QUESTIONS . . . . .	243
[H]	Control by the Court of the Presentation of Proof: Comments, Rulings, and Preservation of Error . . . . .	244
[1]	Prejudicial Comments; Preserving Error as to Excluded Evidence . . . . .	244
	J. POPE AND C.E. HAMPTON, PRESENTING AND EXCLUDING EVIDENCE . . . . .	244
	TEXAS EMPLOYERS’ INSURANCE ASSOCIATION v. GARZA . . . . .	248
[2]	Preserving Error as to Admitted Evidence . . . . .	251
	HARLOW v. SWIFT AND CO. . . . .	251
	PRACTICE EXERCISE #7 . . . . .	253
§ 3.05	TRIAL MOTION PRACTICE . . . . .	254
	WILLIAM V. DORSANEO III, TEXAS LITIGATION GUIDE . . . . .	254
	APPENDIX — INVOKING THE RULE, EXPERT TESTIMONY, AND THE TEXAS BAR EXAM . . . . .	257
<b>Chapter 4</b>	<b>THE JURY CHARGE . . . . .</b>	<b>261</b>
§ 4.01	THE JURY CHARGE — BACKGROUND AND OVERVIEW . . . . .	261
[A]	The Purpose of the Jury Charge . . . . .	261

---

*Table of Contents*

[B]	A Sample Texas Jury Charge . . . . .	262
[1]	Broad Form Submission . . . . .	262
	NOTES AND QUESTIONS . . . . .	267
[2]	Separate and Distinct Submission . . . . .	268
	NOTES AND QUESTIONS . . . . .	269
[C]	Historical Development and Pervasive Issues . . . . .	269
[1]	Pre-1973: Specific Questions (Special Issues) . . . . .	269
[2]	The Transition Period: 1973–1988 . . . . .	270
[3]	Treatment of the Inferential Rebuttal Defense . . . . .	271
[4]	Variance Problems . . . . .	274
	BROWN v. AMERICAN TRANSFER AND STORAGE CO. . . . .	275
	NOTES AND QUESTIONS . . . . .	277
[5]	The Court Commits to Broad Form . . . . .	278
	ISLAND RECREATIONAL DEVELOPMENT CORP. v. REPUBLIC OF TEXAS SAVINGS ASSOCIATION . . . . .	278
	NOTES AND QUESTIONS . . . . .	284
§ 4.02	MANDATORY BROAD-FORM SUBMISSION: THE CURRENT SYSTEM . . . . .	285
[A]	Question Format . . . . .	285
	TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES v. E.B. . . . .	285
	KEETCH v. KROGER CO. . . . .	287
	H.E. BUTT GROCERY CO. v. WARNER . . . . .	290
	CROWN LIFE INSURANCE CO. v. CASTEEL . . . . .	291
	NOTES AND QUESTIONS . . . . .	297
	HARRIS COUNTY v. SMITH . . . . .	299
	NOTES AND QUESTIONS . . . . .	308
	PRACTICE EXERCISE #8 (JURY QUESTIONS) . . . . .	311
[B]	The Relationship of Explanatory Instructions and Definitions to the Jury Questions . . . . .	312
[1]	Historical Development and Overview . . . . .	312
[2]	Relating Instructions and Definitions to “Jury Questions” . . . . .	313
	DIAMOND OFFSHORE MANAGEMENT CO. v. GUIDRY . . . . .	313
	NOTE . . . . .	314
[3]	When Instructions are “Proper” . . . . .	315
	BRANDON v. COOPER . . . . .	315
	NOTES AND QUESTIONS . . . . .	316
[4]	Improper Judicial Comments: Instructions that Nudge the Jury, Comment on the Weight of the Evidence, or Inform the Jury of the Effect of its Answers . . . . .	318
	ACORD v. GENERAL MOTORS CORP. . . . .	318
	MADDOX v. DENKA CHEMICAL CORP. . . . .	321

---

*Table of Contents*

	LONE STAR GAS CO. v. LEMOND . . . . .	323
	NOTES AND QUESTIONS . . . . .	325
[5]	Submission of Inferential Rebuttal Instructions . . . . .	326
	DILLARD v. TEXAS ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE . . . . .	326
[6]	Informing Jurors of Effect of Answers on Judgment . . . . .	331
	H.E. BUTT GROCERY CO. v. BILOTTO . . . . .	331
	NOTES AND QUESTIONS . . . . .	337
	PRACTICE EXERCISE #9 (INSTRUCTIONS AND DEFINITIONS) . . . . .	338
§ 4.03	REQUESTS TO CHARGE AND OBJECTIONS TO THE CHARGE . . . . .	339
[A]	Preservation of Charge Complaints . . . . .	339
[1]	Traditional Rules . . . . .	340
	WILLIAM V. DORSANEO, III, <i>Revision and Recodification of the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure Concerning the Jury Charge</i> . . . . .	340
	LYLES v. TEXAS EMPLOYERS’ INSURANCE ASSOCIATION . . . . .	340
	NOTES AND QUESTIONS . . . . .	341
[2]	The Texas Supreme Court’s New Approach . . . . .	342
	STATE DEP’T OF HIGHWAYS AND PUBLIC TRANSP. v. PAYNE . . . . .	342
	NOTES AND QUESTIONS . . . . .	348
[B]	The Timing of Objections and Requests . . . . .	350
	MISSOURI PACIFIC RAILROAD CO. v. CROSS . . . . .	350
	NOTES AND QUESTIONS . . . . .	355
[C]	The Manner of Making Requests to Charge and Objections to the Court’s Proposed Charge . . . . .	356
[1]	Making Objections . . . . .	356
	MONSANTO COMPANY v. MILAM . . . . .	356
[2]	Making Requests . . . . .	359
	DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES v. HINDS . . . . .	359
	DALLAS MARKET CENTER DEVELOPMENT v. LIEDEKER . . . . .	363
	NOTES AND QUESTIONS . . . . .	365
	PRACTICE EXERCISE #10 (OBJECTIONS AND REQUESTS) . . . . .	367
[D]	The Consequences of Failure to Object . . . . .	367
[1]	Waiver of Substantive Legal Theory . . . . .	367
	BARFIELD v. BROGDON . . . . .	367
	HOLLAND v. WAL-MART STORES, INC. . . . .	371
	EQUISTAR CHEMICALS, L.P. v. DRESSER-RAND CO. . . . .	374
	NOTES AND QUESTIONS . . . . .	378
[2]	Deemed Elements . . . . .	379
	HAWES v. CENTRAL TEXAS PRODUCTION CREDIT	

---

*Table of Contents*

	ASSOCIATION . . . . .	379
[3]	Waived Grounds . . . . .	382
	SUDDERTH v. HOWARD . . . . .	382
	NOTES AND QUESTIONS . . . . .	384
	APPENDIX A — THE JURY CHARGE AND THE TEXAS BAR EXAM . . . . .	385
<b>Chapter 5</b>	<b>JURY ARGUMENT . . . . .</b>	<b>389</b>
§ 5.01	THE LEGITIMATE FUNCTIONS OF ARGUMENT TO THE JURY . . . .	389
	STANDARD FIRE INSURANCE CO. v. REESE . . . . .	389
	NOTES AND QUESTIONS . . . . .	397
	McINNES v. YAMAHA MOTOR CORP., U.S.A. . . . .	398
	NOTES AND QUESTIONS . . . . .	399
§ 5.02	HOW JURY ARGUMENT WORKS IN PRACTICE . . . . .	401
	CRUMP, EFFECTIVE JURY ARGUMENT:THE ORGANIZATION . .	401
	NOTES AND QUESTIONS . . . . .	403
	COURT’S CHARGE IN PENNZOIL CO. v. TEXACO, INC. . . . .	404
	EXCERPTS FROM JURY ARGUMENTS OR SUMMATIONS IN <i>PENNZOIL CO. v. TEXACO, INC.</i> . . . . .	406
§ 5.03	PROPER AND IMPROPER TYPES OF JURY ARGUMENT . . . . .	411
[A]	Appeals to Passion and Prejudice . . . . .	411
	MATTER OF MARRIAGE OF KNIGHTON . . . . .	411
	TEXAS EMPLOYERS’ INSURANCE ASSOCIATION v. GUERRERO . . . . .	414
	NOTES AND QUESTIONS . . . . .	419
[B]	Evidence, Remarks Outside the Record, and Inferences from the Evidence . . . . .	420
	YOUNGER BROTHERS, INC. v. MYERS . . . . .	420
	NOTES AND QUESTIONS . . . . .	422
[C]	Arguments About the Law and Arguments Diverting the Jury from its Duty . . . . .	423
	NOTES AND QUESTIONS . . . . .	426
[D]	Personal Invective and Accusations of Misconduct . . . . .	427
	AMERICAN PETROFINA, INC. v. PPG INDUSTRIES, INC. . . . .	427
	NOTES AND QUESTIONS . . . . .	429
[E]	The “Open Door” or “Invited Argument” Doctrine . . . . .	429
	FULMER v. THOMPSON . . . . .	429
	NOTES AND QUESTIONS . . . . .	432
	PRACTICE EXERCISE #11 . . . . .	432
	APPENDIX — JURY ARGUMENT AND THE TEXAS BAR	

---

*Table of Contents*

	EXAM .....	433
<b>Chapter 6</b>	<b>JURY DELIBERATIONS AND THE VERDICT .....</b>	<b>435</b>
§ 6.01	INTRODUCTION .....	435
§ 6.02	CONDUCT OF JURY DURING DELIBERATIONS .....	435
[A]	The Jury’s “Code of Conduct” .....	435
	PRACTICE EXERCISE #12 .....	441
	NOTES AND QUESTIONS .....	442
[B]	Misconduct During Deliberation and the Harmless Error Rule .....	443
	PHARO v. CHAMBERS COUNTY .....	443
	GOLDEN EAGLE ARCHERY, INC. v. JACKSON .....	448
	NOTES AND QUESTIONS .....	460
§ 6.03	THE CONDUCT OF THE COURT: POST-DELIBERATION COMMENCEMENT COMMUNICATIONS .....	462
[A]	Responding to Communications From the Jurors .....	462
	GARZA v. SAN ANTONIO LIGHT .....	462
	NOTES AND QUESTIONS .....	465
[B]	The Use of Verdict-Urging Instructions .....	465
§ 6.04	RECEIPT OF VERDICT .....	466
[A]	The “Duty” to Receive the Verdict and Render Judgment .....	466
	McCAULEY v. CHARTER OAK FIRE INSURANCE COMPANY ..	466
	NOTES AND QUESTIONS .....	470
[B]	The Partial Verdict; Consequences of Failure to Insist on a Complete Verdict .....	471
	FLEET v. FLEET .....	471
	ELLIOTT v. HAMILTON .....	473
	GARCIA v. SPOHN HEALTH SYSTEM .....	475
	NOTES AND QUESTIONS .....	478
[C]	Conflicting Findings .....	479
	FIRST NAT. BANK AT LUBBOCK v. J.E. MITCHELL CO. ....	479
	NOTE .....	483
	PRACTICE EXERCISE #13 .....	483
	LITTLE ROCK FURNITURE MFG. CO. v. DUNN .....	484
	NOTES AND QUESTIONS .....	489
	APPENDIX — JURY DELIBERATIONS, THE JURY VERDICT AND THE TEXAS BAR EXAM .....	491
<b>Chapter 7</b>	<b>NONJURY TRIAL .....</b>	<b>493</b>
§ 7.01	CHARACTERISTICS OF A NONJURY TRIAL .....	493
[A]	Differences from Jury Trial: Consideration of Evidence .....	493

---

*Table of Contents*

[B]	Order of Trial Proceedings . . . . .	494
[C]	Motion for Judgment . . . . .	494
[D]	Trial by Consent . . . . .	494
[E]	The Function of Express Findings and Conclusions . . . . .	495
[1]	Presumption of Implied Findings . . . . .	495
[2]	Effect of Trial Judge’s Express Findings . . . . .	496
[F]	The Procedure for Requesting Findings and Conclusions . . . . .	497
	NOTES AND QUESTIONS . . . . .	497
[G]	When are Findings Required and When are they “Proper”? . . . . .	498
	IKB INDUSTRIES v. PRO-LINE CORP. . . . .	498
	NOTES AND QUESTIONS . . . . .	502
[H]	The Consequences of a Trial Court’s Failure to Make Findings . . . . .	503
[1]	Interference with the Proper Presentation of the Appeal . . . . .	503
	FRASER v. GOLDBERG . . . . .	503
	TEXAS EASTERN TRANSMISSION CORPORATION v. SEALY INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT . . . . .	505
	NOTES AND QUESTIONS . . . . .	506
[2]	Omitted and Presumed Findings . . . . .	508
	STRETCHER v. GREGG . . . . .	508
[I]	Additional Findings of Fact . . . . .	515
	HOOD v. ADAMS . . . . .	515
	WESTERN STEEL CO. v. COAST INVESTMENT CORP. . . . .	517
	NOTES AND QUESTIONS . . . . .	517
	PRACTICE EXERCISE #14 . . . . .	518
§ 7.02	BASES FOR CHALLENGING TRIAL COURT’S FINDINGS OF FACT . .	519
	McGALLIARD v. KUHLMANN . . . . .	519

**Chapter 8                    TAKING THE CASE AWAY FROM THE JURY:  
   INSTRUCTED VERDICT AND POST-TRIAL MOTIONS . 523**

---

§ 8.01	THE STANDARDS FOR GRANTING MOTIONS FOR INSTRUCTED VERDICT AND POST-TRIAL MOTIONS . . . . .	523
§ 8.02	MOTION FOR INSTRUCTED VERDICT . . . . .	528
[A]	The Standard for Granting an Instructed Verdict . . . . .	528
[1]	No Evidence: The Standard for Instructing a Verdict in Favor of the Party Without the Burden of Persuasion . . . . .	528
	KINDRED v. CON/CHEM, INC. . . . .	528
	WAL-MART STORES, INC. v. GONZALEZ . . . . .	531
	NOTES AND QUESTIONS . . . . .	535
[2]	Matter of Law: The Standard for Directing a Verdict in Favor of the Party With the Burden of Persuasion . . . . .	541



---

*Table of Contents*

	COLLORA v. NAVARRO . . . . .	541
	NOTES AND QUESTIONS . . . . .	544
[3]	Judicial Admissions and Contradictory Testimony . . . . .	544
[4]	Credibility Determinations; Disbelief of Testimony . . . . .	546
	NOTES AND QUESTIONS . . . . .	546
	OBIEDIO v. J. WEINGARTEN, INC. RECORD AT 94–98 . . . . .	546
	NOTES AND QUESTIONS . . . . .	547
[5]	The Clear and Convincing Evidence Standard . . . . .	548
	IN RE J.F.C. . . . .	548
[B]	Procedure for Moving for, and Granting, an Instructed Verdict . . . . .	551
[1]	The Motion Itself: Statement of Grounds . . . . .	551
	NOTES AND QUESTIONS . . . . .	551
[2]	Timing of the Motion for Instructed Verdict . . . . .	553
[3]	Effect of Defective Pleadings or Evidence . . . . .	553
§ 8.03	THE MOTION FOR JUDGMENT NOTWITHSTANDING THE VERDICT OR TO DISREGARD JURY FINDINGS . . . . .	554
	DITTBERNER v. BELL . . . . .	554
	NOTES AND QUESTIONS . . . . .	558
§ 8.04	THE MOTION FOR JUDGMENT ON THE VERDICT . . . . .	559
	LITTON INDUSTRIAL PRODUCTS v. GAMMAGE . . . . .	559
	FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF BEEVILLE v. FOJTIK . . . . .	561
	NOTE . . . . .	562
§ 8.05	THE MOTION FOR NEW TRIAL . . . . .	562
[A]	Nature and Purpose of the Motion . . . . .	562
[B]	Procedural Grounds for New Trial . . . . .	563
[1]	New Trial Because of Misconduct of Jury, Party, Attorney, Court Officer, or Third Party . . . . .	563
	NOTE ON PRESENTING MATTERS NOT YET IN THE RECORD BY THE MOTION FOR NEW TRIAL . . . . .	563
[2]	New Trial Because of Newly Discovered Evidence . . . . .	565
	JACKSON v. VAN WINKLE . . . . .	565
	NOTES AND QUESTIONS . . . . .	570
[3]	A New Trial on Equitable Grounds or for Related Reasons . . . . .	571
	BANK ONE, TEXAS, N.A. v. MOODY . . . . .	571
	NOTES AND QUESTIONS . . . . .	577
[C]	New Trial Because of Factual Issues . . . . .	579
[1]	Insufficient Evidence; Great Weight and Preponderance . . . . .	579
	COOPER v. ARGONAUT INSURANCE CO. . . . .	579
	NOTES AND QUESTIONS . . . . .	582
[2]	Excessive or Inadequate Damages; Remittitur . . . . .	582
	LARSON v. CACTUS UTILITY CO. . . . .	582



*Table of Contents*

	NOTES AND QUESTIONS . . . . .	649
	APPENDIX — APPELLATE REVIEW OF FINAL JUDGMENTS AND INTERLOCUTORY ORDERS . . . . .	653
<b>Chapter 10</b>	<b>THE COURT OF APPEALS . . . . .</b>	<b>655</b>
§ 10.01	THE SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION OF THE COURT OF APPEALS IN CIVIL CASES . . . . .	655
[A]	Amount in Controversy & Geographical Restrictions . . . . .	655
	TUNE v. TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SAFETY . . . . .	656
	NOTES AND QUESTIONS . . . . .	662
[B]	General Final Judgment Requirement . . . . .	662
[C]	Duty to Exercise Jurisdiction . . . . .	663
§ 10.02	APPELLATE PREREQUISITES AND PRELIMINARY CONSIDERATIONS . . . . .	663
[A]	Trial Court Complaint: Preservation of the Right to Complain . . . . .	663
	CECIL v. SMITH . . . . .	663
	NOTES AND QUESTIONS . . . . .	667
[B]	Suspending Enforcement of the Judgment Pending Appeal . . . . .	668
	IN RE SMITH . . . . .	669
	NOTES AND QUESTIONS . . . . .	673
[C]	Frivolous Appeals . . . . .	676
	BRIDGES v. ROBINSON . . . . .	676
	NOTE . . . . .	682
§ 10.03	INTRODUCTION TO THE APPELLATE PROCESS . . . . .	682
§ 10.04	COMMENCEMENT AND MODIFICATION OF THE APPELLATE PROCESS AND TIMETABLES . . . . .	683
[A]	Effect of Modified Trial Court Judgment . . . . .	684
	CHECK v. MITCHELL . . . . .	684
	NOTES AND QUESTIONS . . . . .	685
[B]	No Notice of Trial Court’s Judgment . . . . .	687
	ST. LOUIS FEDERAL SAVINGS & LOAN ASSOCIATION v. SUMMERHOUSE JOINT VENTURE . . . . .	687
	NOTE . . . . .	687
§ 10.05	PROCEDURES FOR PROSECUTING ORDINARY APPEAL . . . . .	688
[A]	Perfection of the Appeal . . . . .	688
[1]	Preparation and Filing of Notice of Appeal . . . . .	688
[2]	Motion for Extension of Time to File Notice of Appeal . . . . .	689
	GARCIA v. KASTNER FARMS, INC. . . . .	689
	VERBURGT v. DORNER . . . . .	691
	NOTES AND QUESTIONS . . . . .	695

---

*Table of Contents*

[B]	Preparation and Filing of Appellate Record . . . . .	696
[1]	Reporter’s Record . . . . .	696
	CMM GRAIN CO., INC. v. OZGUNDUZ . . . . .	697
	NOTES AND QUESTIONS . . . . .	699
[2]	Clerk’s Record . . . . .	700
[3]	Filing the Clerk’s and Reporter’s Records . . . . .	701
[C]	Preparation and Filing of Appellate Briefs . . . . .	701
[1]	Timetable for Extension of Time to File Briefs . . . . .	701
[2]	Contents of Appellant’s Brief . . . . .	702
	LANG v. HARWOOD . . . . .	702
[3]	Contents of the Appellee’s Brief: Reply Points and Cross-Points . . . . .	705
[4]	Appellant’s Reply Brief . . . . .	706
[5]	Amended or Supplemental Briefs . . . . .	707
§ 10.06	PROCEDURES FOR PROSECUTING ACCELERATED AND RESTRICTED APPEALS . . . . .	708
[A]	Accelerated Appeals . . . . .	708
[B]	Restricted Appeals . . . . .	709
	DAVENPORT v. SCHEBLE . . . . .	710
	NOTE . . . . .	714
	PRACTICE EXERCISE #17 . . . . .	714
§ 10.07	ORAL ARGUMENT . . . . .	715
§ 10.08	JUDGMENT MAKING IN THE COURT OF APPEALS . . . . .	719
[A]	Rendition or Remand? . . . . .	720
	NOTES AND QUESTIONS . . . . .	720
[B]	Partial Remand . . . . .	721
§ 10.09	MOTIONS FOR REHEARING AND EN BANC RECONSIDERATION . . . . .	721
[A]	Motion for Rehearing . . . . .	721
[B]	En Banc Reconsideration . . . . .	722
	APPENDIX — INVOKING APPELLATE JURISDICTION AND SUSPENDING JUDGMENT ENFORCEMENT AND THE TEXAS BAR EXAM . . . . .	723
<b>Chapter 11</b>	<b>APPELLATE PROCEEDINGS IN THE TEXAS SUPREME COURT . . . . .</b>	<b>725</b>
§ 11.01	JURISDICTION . . . . .	725
[A]	Appeals From Courts of Appeals . . . . .	725
	POOL v. FORD MOTOR CO. . . . .	727
	NOTES AND QUESTIONS . . . . .	730
	GONZALEZ v. AVALOS . . . . .	733
	NOTES AND QUESTIONS . . . . .	734

*Table of Contents*

	PRACTICE EXERCISE #18 . . . . .	735
[B]	Direct Appeals From Trial Court . . . . .	736
	TEXAS WORKERS' COMPENSATION COMMISSION v. GARCIA . . . . .	736
	NOTES AND QUESTIONS . . . . .	737
§ 11.02	SECURING APPELLATE REVIEW ON THE MERITS . . . . .	737
[A]	Appellate Process and Timetable . . . . .	737
	CITY OF SAN ANTONIO v. HARTMAN . . . . .	739
	NOTE . . . . .	742
	PRACTICE EXERCISE #19 . . . . .	742
[B]	Obtaining Relief in Texas Supreme Court . . . . .	742
[C]	Certified Questions From United States Courts . . . . .	743
	AMBERBOY v. SOCIETE de BANQUE PRIVEE . . . . .	743
[D]	Supreme Court Briefing . . . . .	747
	WILLIAMS v. KHALAF . . . . .	747
	NOTES . . . . .	749
[E]	Rehearing . . . . .	749
<b>Chapter 12 ORIGINAL PROCEEDINGS IN APPELLATE COURTS . .</b>		<b>751</b>
§ 12.01	CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY AUTHORITY FOR ORIGINAL PROCEEDINGS . . . . .	751
[A]	Comparison to Appeals . . . . .	751
[B]	Constitutional and Statutory Authority for Original Proceedings . . . . .	751
§ 12.02	MANDAMUS PROCEEDINGS . . . . .	753
[A]	Mandamus Jurisdiction: Shared Jurisdiction With the Courts of Appeals . . . . .	753
	LESIKAR v. ANTHONY . . . . .	753
	WELDER v. FRITZ . . . . .	755
	NOTES AND QUESTIONS . . . . .	756
[B]	Conditions for Granting the Writ of Mandamus . . . . .	757
	JOHNSON v. FOURTH COURT OF APPEALS . . . . .	758
	IN RE COLUMBIA MEDICAL CENTER OF LAS COLINAS . . . . .	761
	NOTE . . . . .	768
	IN RE THE PRUDENTIAL INSURANCE CO. OF AMERICA . . . . .	769
	IN RE McALLEN MEDICAL CENTER, INC. . . . .	780
	PRACTICE EXERCISE #20 . . . . .	786
	NOTES AND QUESTIONS . . . . .	787
[C]	Mandamus Procedure: The Petition, its Consideration, and the Response . . . . .	789
	WRIGHT v. VALDERAS . . . . .	789
	PACKER v. FIFTH COURT OF APPEALS . . . . .	790
	NOTES AND QUESTIONS . . . . .	791

---

*Table of Contents*

§ 12.03	HABEAS CORPUS PROCEEDINGS .....	792
	EX PARTE MCDONALD .....	792
	EX PARTE DILLARD .....	793
	EX PARTE SANCHEZ .....	795
	NOTES AND QUESTIONS .....	799
§ 12.04	OTHER ORIGINAL PROCEEDINGS IN THE APPELLATE COURTS ...	800

<b>Table of Cases</b> .....	<b>TC-1</b>
-----------------------------	-------------

---

<b>Index</b> .....	<b>I-1</b>
--------------------	------------

---

# *Dedication*

---

To our families: Des, Julie, Bill, Charley, Thomas, Andrew and Peter Dorsaneo; Bob and Courtney Carlson; Jill, Catherine, Carolyn, John David and Caitlin Crump; and John and Kate Thornburg.





# *Introduction*

---

This book is the second in a series of textbooks that explains how lawsuits should be handled in Texas courts. It covers the methods for obtaining trial settings and continuances, dismissal for want of prosecution and procedures for reinstatement, the right to jury trial, jury selection procedures, trial procedures and presentation of evidence, submission of the case to the jury, jury argument, non-jury trial practice, postverdict and postjudgment motion practice, judgments and other appealable orders, as well as appellate court jurisdiction, appellate practice and original proceedings in the courts of appeals and in the Texas Supreme Court. The coverage of each of these subjects provides both theoretical and practical guidance concerning standard procedures that practicing lawyers must use in handling cases in Texas courts.

The book is both comprehensible and comprehensive, providing a sophisticated overview of the process of adjudication in Texas Courts. It includes integrated coverage of the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure, the Texas Rules of Evidence, the Texas Rules of Appellate Procedure, the Civil Practice and Remedies Code and the Government Code provisions governing civil litigation as well as an up-to-date case law interpretation of applicable rules and statutes.

The book is designed to provide a fundamental theoretical and practical appreciation of the relationship between trial judges, jurors and reviewing courts. It makes plain that the right to jury trial involves not merely whether a party is entitled to a jury, but also illuminates the more fundamental character of the right to jury trial by examining what roles judges and juries play in the litigation process in relation to one another.

The book also contains supplementary information including background and historical information, detailed textual explanation of important issues and concepts and notes and questions that are designed to facilitate and extend comprehension of the material.

Many chapters also contain or are supplemented with detailed practice exercises demonstrating the context for and the application of principles explained and discussed in the cases. Several chapters are followed by appendices containing Texas bar examination questions, which should be helpful in preparation for the Texas Procedure and Evidence portion of the Texas bar examination.

We hope you will enjoy using the book. We know that you will find it to be challenging and informative.

