

EDUCATION LAW:
A Problem-Based Approach
THIRD EDITION

LexisNexis Law School Publishing Advisory Board

Paul Caron

Professor of Law

Pepperdine University School of Law

Bridgette Carr

Clinical Professor of Law

University of Michigan Law School

Steven I. Friedland

Professor of Law and Senior Scholar

Elon University School of Law

Carole Goldberg

Jonathan D. Varat Distinguished Professor of Law

UCLA School of Law

Oliver Goodenough

Professor of Law

Vermont Law School

John Sprankling

Distinguished Professor of Law

McGeorge School of Law

EDUCATION LAW:

A Problem-Based Approach

THIRD EDITION

Scott F. Johnson

Professor of Law

Concord Law School of Kaplan University

Sarah E. Redfield

Professor of Law

University of New Hampshire



ISBN: 978-1-6328-3314-3 (hard cover)

ISBN: 978-1-6328-3315-0 (looseleaf)

ISBN: 978-1-6328-3316-7 (eBook)

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Johnson, Scott F., author.

Education law : a problem-based approach / Scott F. Johnson, Professor of Law, Concord Law School of Kaplan University; Sarah E. Redfield, Professor of Law, University of New Hampshire. -- Third edition.

pages cm.

Includes index.

ISBN 978-1-63283-314-3 (hardbound) -- ISBN 978-1-63283-315-0 (loose-leaf) -- ISBN 978-1-63283-316-7 (e-book)

1. Educational law and legislation--United States. I. Redfield, Sarah E., author. II. Title.

1. Educational law and legislation--United States. I. Redfield, Sarah E. II. Title.

KF4119.J62 2015

344.73'071--dc23

2015027757

This publication is designed to provide authoritative information in regard to the subject matter covered. It is sold with the understanding that the publisher is not engaged in rendering legal, accounting, or other professional services. If legal advice or other expert assistance is required, the services of a competent professional should be sought.

LexisNexis and the Knowledge Burst logo are registered trademarks of Reed Elsevier Properties Inc., used under license. Matthew Bender and the Matthew Bender Flame Design are registered trademarks of Matthew Bender Properties Inc.

Copyright © 2015 Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of LexisNexis. All Rights Reserved.

No copyright is claimed by LexisNexis or Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., in the text of statutes, regulations, and excerpts from court opinions quoted within this work. Permission to copy material may be licensed for a fee from the Copyright Clearance Center, 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, Mass. 01923, telephone (978) 750-8400.

NOTE TO USERS

To ensure that you are using the latest materials available in this area, please be sure to periodically check the LexisNexis Law School web site for downloadable updates and supplements at www.lexisnexis.com/lawschool.

Editorial Offices

630 Central Ave., New Providence, NJ 07974 (908) 464-6800

201 Mission St., San Francisco, CA 94105-1831 (415) 908-3200

www.lexisnexis.com

MATTHEW  BENDER

Dedication

To my mother, Sandra M. Johnson, and the memory of my father, Thomas F. Johnson
— SFJ

To Maggie and Lily and those who love them — SER

Acknowledgements

Professor Johnson would like to thank Sarah E. Redfield for taking on this project. I would also like to thank, Interim Dean Larry David, Dean of Faculty Andrew Tallmer, and Executive Director Greg Brandes all of Concord Law School of Kaplan University for their support of my work, and my family for their love, support and understanding of the time commitment involved in writing this book.

Professor Redfield, of course, thanks Professor Johnson, whose idea this was and without whom it would never have reached reality. She also thanks the University of New Hampshire School of Law for its support of this work and her students for all they have taught her about education, teaching, and writing.

We both would like to thank everyone at LexisNexis for their assistance, particularly Keith Moore for his editorial assistance and guidance through the process.

Table of Contents

Chapter 1	FOUNDATIONAL PRINCIPLES	1
§ 1.01	INTRODUCTION	1
	Problem 1: Education at Risk and Bedrock Cases from the Supreme Court	2
	<i>Brown v. Board of Education (Brown I)</i>	3
	<i>Brown v. Board of Education (Brown II)</i>	6
	<i>San Antonio Independent School District v. Rodriguez</i>	7
	<i>Plyler v. Doe</i>	21
	Post Problem Discussion	25
§ 1.02	STATE & FEDERAL INTERACTION: THE SUPREMACY AND SPENDING CLAUSES	26
	Problem 2: Homeless Students	28
	Federal Law 42 U.S.C. § 11432	28
	Hypothetical State Law § 493:12	32
	<i>Lampkin v. District of Columbia</i>	33
	Post Problem Discussion	39
§ 1.03	FEDERAL LAW: SOURCES & GOVERNANCE	39
	Activity 1: Federal Scavenger Hunt	43
§ 1.04	STATE LAW: SOURCES & GOVERNANCE	44
	Activity 2: State Scavenger Hunt	46
§ 1.05	LOCAL LAW: SOURCES & GOVERNANCE	47
	Activity 3: Local Scavenger Hunt	49
§ 1.06	BRINGING THE PIECES TOGETHER	50
	Problem 3: The Boy Scouts Trail Through Sources of Law	50
	New Jersey Anti-Discrimination Statute	51
	N.J. Stat. Ann. § 10:5-5	51
	<i>Boy Scouts of America v. Dale</i>	52
	<i>Boy Scouts of America v. Till</i>	58
	20 U.S.C. § 7905 Equal Access to Public School Facilities	62
	34 C.F.R. § 108.3 et seq.	64
	Post Problem Discussion	67
Chapter 2	SCHOOL FUNDING	
§ 2.01	INTRODUCTION	69
§ 2.02	SOURCES OF FUNDING	70
§ 2.03	SCHOOL FUNDING LITIGATION — BACKGROUND	70
§ 2.04	NEW HAMPSHIRE’S SCHOOL FUNDING LITIGATION	73
A.	Introduction	73

Table of Contents

B.	Constitutional Obligations	75
	Activity 1: Find Your State Constitutional Provisions and Court Decisions	77
C.	Constitutionality of the Education Funding System	77
	Problem 1: Education Funding Systems and Defining Adequacy	77
	<i>Claremont School District v. Governor (Claremont II)</i>	78
	Post Problem Discussion	84
D.	Complying With Constitutional Obligations	85
	Problem 2: State Education Funding — The ABC Plan	85
	<i>Opinion of the Justices (School Financing)</i>	86
	<i>Claremont School District v. Governor (Claremont IV)</i>	89
	Post Problem Discussion	90
E.	State Funding For All?	91
	Problem 3: Targeted Aid	91
	<i>Opinion of the Justices (Reformed Public School Financing System)</i>	91
	Post Problem Discussion	94
§ 2.05	STANDARDS OF ADEQUACY AND ACCOUNTABILITY	95
	Problem 4: State Accountability Obligations	95
	<i>Claremont School District v. Governor (Claremont VII)</i>	95
	Post Problem Discussion	104
	Activity 2: Accountability in Your State	105
§ 2.06	BACK TO THE BEGINNING: BASIC DEFINITIONS AND NEW PARTIES	105
	Problem 5: Defining Adequacy	106
	<i>Londonderry School District SAU #12 v. State of New Hampshire</i>	106
	Post Problem Discussion	114
	Activity 3: Defining Adequacy in Your State	114
§ 2.07	THE COST OF AN ADEQUATE EDUCATION	115
	Activity 4: Determining the Cost of Adequacy in Your State	115
§ 2.08	REMEDIES	116
	Activity 5: Remedies in School Funding Cases in Your State	117

Chapter 3 EMPLOYMENT

§ 3.01	INTRODUCTION	119
§ 3.02	LICENSURE/CERTIFICATION REQUIREMENTS	120
	Activity 1: Find Your State’s HQT & Licensing Requirements	121
	Problem 1: Revoking Teacher Certification for Out of School Conduct	123
	Hypothetical State Licensing Statute: EDL § 32970	123
	<i>Winters v. Arizona Board of Education</i>	124

Table of Contents

	<i>Thompson v. Wisconsin Department of Public Instruction</i>	127
	Post Problem Discussion	131
§ 3.03	HIRING, COLLECTIVE BARGAINING AND CONTRACTS	131
	Activity 2: Find Your State’s Collective Bargaining Requirements . . .	133
§ 3.04	TERMINATION — REMOVAL, DISMISSAL AND NON-RENEWAL	134
	Problem 2: Teacher Removal & Dismissal	136
	Hypothetical State Statute	138
	<i>Wilson v. Des Moines Independent Community School District</i>	138
	<i>Sanders v. Board of Education of Sioux City Community School District</i>	141
	Post Problem Discussion	145
	Problem 3: Contract Non-Renewal	146
	Hypothetical State Statute	147
	Teacher Non-Renewal Hearing Testimony — Ms. Anderson	148
	Sharon Anderson Improvement Plan	150
	Teacher Non-Renewal Hearing Testimony — Superintendent Vasquez	151
	Post Problem Discussion	152
	Activity 3: Tenure	153
§ 3.05	CONSTITUTIONAL DUE PROCESS PROTECTIONS	154
	Problem 4: Due Process Requirements for Non-Tenured Teachers . . .	154
	<i>Board of Regents v. Roth</i>	154
	<i>Perry v. Sindermann</i>	160
	Post Problem Discussion	163
§ 3.06	FIRST AMENDMENT RIGHTS IN EMPLOYMENT	164
	Problem 5: “Teaching Chitlins in the Ghetto of Charlotte”	165
	<i>Pickering v. Board of Education of Township High School</i>	166
	<i>Garcetti v. Ceballos</i>	170
	Post Problem Discussion	184

Chapter 4 INSTRUCTION & CURRICULAR ISSUES

§ 4.01	INTRODUCTION	187
§ 4.02	THE CURRICULUM IN STATUTE	188
A.	State Law	188
	Core Curriculum Framework; Purpose; Curriculum, N.M. Stat. Ann. § 22-13-1.5	188
	Chapter 22. Public Schools Article 23.	189
	Activity 1: Find Your State Curriculum Requirements	191
	B. Federal Law	191
§ 4.03	THE CURRICULUM IN REAL LIFE	191

Table of Contents

A.	Legislative & Judicial Influences	191
	Problem 1: The State Legislature Meets the Curriculum	192
	The Toolbox Revisited — Paths to Degree Completion From High School Through College	192
	The Education Trust, School Comparisons	194
	State A-G Requirements	199
	Post Problem Discussion	200
B.	English as a Second Language	200
	Problem 2: El Plan De Estudios En La Vida Real	202
	<i>Lau v. Nichols</i>	202
	<i>Horne v. Flores</i>	205
	NCLB Excerpt 20 U.S.C. § 6311	215
	Post Problem Discussion	217
§ 4.04	CURRICULUM IN CONSTITUTIONAL CONTEXT	220
	Problem 3: Banning <i>Bastard Out of Carolina</i>	220
	<i>Bastard Out of Carolina</i> By Dorothy Allison	221
	<i>Board of Education of Island Trees Union Free School District No. 26 v.</i> <i>Pico</i>	221
	<i>Virgil v. School Board</i>	227
	<i>Monteiro v. Tempe Union High School District</i>	232
	Post Problem Discussion	238
	Problem 4: Academic Freedom	239
	<i>Keyishian v. Board of Regents</i>	240
	<i>Boring v. Buncombe County Board of Education</i>	242
	<i>Cockrel v. Shelby County School District</i>	247
	Post Problem Discussion	255

Chapter 5 ATTENDANCE, ASSIGNMENT & PLACEMENT

§ 5.01	INTRODUCTION	257
	Activity 1: Find Your State’s Compulsory Attendance Law	258
§ 5.02	COMPULSORY ATTENDANCE	259
	Problem 1: Amish Exceptions to Compulsory Attendance	259
	Hypothetical Compulsory Attendance Law With Amish Exceptions	260
	<i>Wisconsin v. Yoder</i>	261
	<i>Fellowship Baptist Church v. Benton</i>	265
	Post Problem Discussion	268
§ 5.03	CONDITIONS OF ADMISSION, PLACEMENT, AND EXIT	269
	Activity 2: Find The Admission and Exit Conditions in Your State	270
§ 5.04	HOMESCHOOLING	271
	Activity 3: Find Your State’s Homeschool Requirements	272
§ 5.05	RESIDENCY	272

Table of Contents

	Problem 2: Residency Requirements	273
	Hypothetical State Residency Statute	273
	<i>Martinez v. Bynum</i>	274
	Post Problem Discussion	277
§ 5.06	RACE AND GENDER IN PUBLIC SCHOOL ASSIGNMENT AND ATTENDANCE	277
	Problem 4: The Majestic Equality of the Law Goes to School	282
	<i>Mendez v. Westminster</i>	283
	<i>Grutter v. Bollinger</i>	287
	<i>Parents Involved in Community Schools v. School District No. 1</i>	311
	Post Problem Discussion	331

Chapter 6 LIABILITY

§ 6.01	INTRODUCTION	339
§ 6.02	TORTS — NEGLIGENCE	340
A.	Student Injuries	341
	Problem 1: Space Monkey	341
	<i>Partin v. Vernon Parish School Board</i>	342
	<i>Rollins v. Concordia Parish School Board</i>	344
	Post Problem Discussion	347
B.	Injuries by Other Students	348
	Problem 2: Kara and the Bullies	348
	<i>Busby v. Ticonderoga Central School District</i>	349
	<i>Frazer v. St. Tammany Parish School Board</i>	350
	Post Problem Discussion	353
§ 6.03	INTENTIONAL TORTS	353
	Problem 3: “Get Me My Paddle”	353
	Mountain Ridge Corporal Punishment Policy	354
	<i>Maddox v. Boutwell</i>	355
	<i>Hinson v. Holt</i>	357
	Post Problem Discussion	360
§ 6.04	DEFENSES	360
	Activity 1: Find Your State Defenses and Immunities	362
§ 6.05	STATE STATUTORY LIABILITY	362
	Activity 2: Find Your State Anti-Bullying Statute	364
§ 6.06	FEDERAL STATUTORY LIABILITY	364
A.	Section 1983 Claims	364
	Problem 4: Kara’s Claims Under Federal Law	365
	<i>Doe v. Covington County School District</i>	366
	<i>Nabozny v. Podlesny</i>	385
	Post Problem Discussion	393

Table of Contents

B.	Title IX Liability	395
	Problem 5: Kara’s Claims Under Federal Law — Part 2	396
	<i>Gebser v. Lago Vista Independent School District</i>	396
	<i>Davis v. Monroe County Board of Education</i>	403
	<i>Vance v. Spencer County Public School District</i>	408
	<i>Rost v. Steamboat Springs RE-2 School District</i>	413
	<i>Estate of Brown v. Ogletree</i>	417
	Post Problem Discussion	425
§ 6.07	ONLINE LIABILITY	426
	Activity 3: Protecting Students Online	427
§ 6.08	BRINGING THE PIECES TOGETHER	428
	Problem 6: Controlling Classroom Behavior	428
	Post Problem Discussion	429
Chapter 7	PRIVACY RIGHTS & RIGHT TO KNOW	431
§ 7.01	INTRODUCTION	431
§ 7.02	CONSTITUTIONAL PRIVACY RIGHTS	432
	Problem 1: Student Surveys	432
	<i>Rhoades v. Penn-Harris-Madison School Corporation</i>	433
	Post Problem Discussion	448
§ 7.03	PRIVACY RIGHTS UNDER FEDERAL STATUTES & REGULATIONS	449
	Problem 2: Ferpa’s Confidentiality Requirements	449
	20 U.S.C. § 1232g Family Educational and Privacy Rights	450
	<i>Owasso Independent School District No. I-011 v. Falvo</i>	464
	<i>Gonzaga University v. Doe</i>	468
	Post Problem Discussion	473
	Problem 3: Directory Information	474
	Post Problem Discussion	475
§ 7.04	ONLINE PRIVACY	475
	Activity 1: Online Privacy Issues	476
§ 7.05	OPEN MEETING REQUIREMENTS	477
	Problem 4: E-Mail and Right to Know Laws	478
	Hypothetical State Right to Know Law	479
	<i>Lambert v. McPherson</i>	483
	Post Problem Discussion	487
Chapter 8	STUDENT DISCIPLINE	
§ 8.01	INTRODUCTION	489
§ 8.02	THE STANDARDS FOR DISCIPLINE AND THE ROLE OF COURTS	490

Table of Contents

	Problem 1: Defining Conduct Subject to Discipline	491
	New Town High School Discipline Policy	491
	<i>Hasson v. Boothby</i>	492
	<i>Woodis v. Westark</i>	496
	<i>Wood v. Strickland</i>	499
	Post Problem Discussion	502
§ 8.03	SUBSTANTIVE & PROCEDURAL REQUIREMENTS	503
	Problem 2: Disciplinary Hearing Process	503
	State Statute	504
	State Education Regulations	505
	Relevant Portion of Harmony High School Discipline Policy	508
	Letter to Parents	509
	Police Report	509
	<i>Goss v. Lopez</i>	510
	Post Problem Discussion	515
	Activity 1: Find Your State and Local Discipline Standards	517
§ 8.04	CORPORAL PUNISHMENT	518
	Problem 3: Federal Claims for Corporal Punishment	518
	<i>Ingraham v. Wright</i>	519
	<i>Fee v. Herndon</i>	522
	<i>Hall v. Tawney</i>	525
	Post Problem Discussion	529
§ 8.05	ACADEMIC DISCIPLINE	530
	Problem 4: The Guitarists	530
	<i>Regents of the University of Michigan v. Ewing</i>	531
	Illinois State Statutes	535
	Illinois Administrative Code	536
	School Handbook: Conduct/Discipline	536
	Great High School Grading Policy	538
	Post Problem Discussion	538
§ 8.06	DISCIPLINE FOR OFF-CAMPUS CONDUCT	539
	Problem 5: Ben and Clay’s Off Campus Activities	540
	<i>Smith v. Little Rock School District</i>	541
	<i>Nicholas B. v. School Commissioner of Worcester</i>	544
	<i>Galveston Independent School District v. Boothe</i>	546
	<i>Howard v. Colonial School District</i>	548
	Post Problem Discussion	549
§ 8.07	DRUGS, GUNS, AND ZERO TOLERANCE POLICIES	550
	Activity 2: Zero Tolerance Discipline Policies	551
	Problem 6: Zero Tolerance for Weapons	552
	Penn School District Zero Tolerance Policy	553

Table of Contents

	Hypothetical State Statute Ed 8731	553
	<i>Vann v. Stewart</i>	554
	<i>Colvin v. Lowndes County Mississippi School District</i>	558
	Post Problem Discussion	562
<hr/>		
Chapter 9	STUDENT EXPRESSION	
<hr/>		
§ 9.01	INTRODUCTION	565
§ 9.02	THE CLASSIC CASES — A TRILOGY PLUS ONE SETS THE STANDARD	565
	Problem 1: Applying Free Speech Standards	566
	<i>Tinker v. Des Moines</i>	567
	<i>Bethel School District v. Fraser</i>	571
	<i>Hazelwood School District v. Kuhlmeier</i>	575
	<i>Morse v. Frederick</i>	579
	Post Problem Discussion	585
§ 9.03	DRESS CODES AND STUDENT EXPRESSION	586
	Problem 2: T-Shirts With a Message	587
	<i>Boroff v. Van Wert City Board of Education</i>	588
	<i>Guiles v. Marineau</i>	591
	<i>B.H., K.M. v. Easton Area School District</i>	595
	Post Problem Discussion	604
	Activity 1: State Constitutional Provisions and Local Dress Codes . . .	604
§ 9.04	ELECTRONIC SPEECH	605
	Problem 3: Making Fun of Your Teacher Online	607
	<i>J.S. v. Blue Mountain School District</i>	607
	<i>Kowalski v. Berkeley County Sch.</i>	625
	Post Problem Discussion	634
§ 9.05	TRUE THREATS	635
	Problem 4: Convincing The Supreme Court to Resolve True Threat Issues in Schools	636
	<i>Ponce v. Socorro Independent School District</i>	636
	<i>Lavine v. Blaine School District</i>	641
	<i>Doe v. Pulaski County Special School District</i>	649
	<i>Bell v. Itawamba School Board</i>	655
	Post Problem Discussion	666
<hr/>		
Chapter 10	SEARCH, SEIZURE AND INTERROGATION	
<hr/>		
§ 10.01	INTRODUCTION	669
§ 10.02	APPLYING <i>T.L.O.</i>	670
	Problem 1: “2420” — “YBYSA!”	670
	<i>New Jersey v. T.L.O.</i>	671

Table of Contents

	<i>Klump v. Nazareth Area School District</i>	674
	<i>S.V.J. v. State</i>	675
	Post Problem Discussion	677
§ 10.03	STRIP SEARCHES	678
	Problem 2: Searching for Answers	678
	<i>Safford Unified Sch. Dist. #1 v. Redding</i>	679
	Post Problem Discussion	685
§ 10.04	SCHOOL-RELATED POLICE SEARCHES	686
	Problem 3: Standards for Police Searches at School	687
	<i>State v. Alaniz</i>	688
	<i>State v. Heirtzler</i>	692
	Post Problem Discussion	695
§ 10.05	RANDOM, SUSPICIONLESS SEARCHES	696
	Problem 4: Random Searches at School	696
	<i>Vernonia School District v. Acton</i>	697
	<i>Board of Education of Independent School District No. 92 v. Earls</i>	702
	Post Problem Discussion	706
§ 10.06	STATE CONSTITUTIONS	707
	Activity 1: Find Your State’s Search and Seizure Standards	708
§ 10.07	STUDENT INTERROGATION	708
	Problem 5: Finding the Panda Lab	708
	<i>J.D.B. v. North Carolina</i>	710
	<i>In re T.A.G.</i>	718
	<i>J.D. v. Commonwealth of Virginia</i>	721
	<i>State v. Tinkham</i>	723
	Post Problem Discussion	725

Chapter 11 RELIGION

§ 11.01	INTRODUCTION AND OVERVIEW	727
A.	Establishment Clause Legal Standards	728
B.	Free Exercise Legal Standards	731
§ 11.02	CATEGORY ONE: INTERACTION WITH PRIVATE RELIGIOUS SCHOOLS	733
A.	Providing Materials and Services to Students at Religious Schools	733
	Problem 1: Religious Organizations Providing Services to Public School Students	733
	<i>Lemon v. Kurtzman</i>	735
	<i>Zobrest v. Catalina Foothills School District</i>	740
	<i>Agostini v. Felton</i>	742
	<i>Mitchell v. Helms</i>	747
	Post Problem Discussion	750

Table of Contents

B.	Vouchers	751
	Problem 2: Vouchers in Your State	752
	<i>Zelman v. Simmons-Harris</i>	753
	<i>Locke v. Davey</i>	757
	Post Problem Discussion	759
C.	Public School Benefits and Free Exercise Rights	760
	Problem 3: Public School Benefits and Free Exercise	761
	<i>Employment Division Department of Human Resources of</i> <i>Oregon v. Smith</i>	762
	<i>Church of Lukumi Babalu Aye, Inc. v. Hialeah</i>	766
	<i>Gary S. v. Manchester School District</i>	768
	Post Problem Discussion	771
§ 11.03	CATEGORY TWO: RELIGIOUS ACTIVITIES AT PUBLIC SCHOOLS OR SCHOOL-SPONSORED EVENTS	771
A.	School Prayer	771
	Problem 4: Student Initiated Prayer at the Senior Prom	772
	<i>Lee v. Weisman</i>	773
	<i>Santa Fe Independent School District v. Doe</i>	778
	Post Problem Discussion	782
B.	Pledge of Allegiance and Religious Activities	782
	Activity 1: Pledge of Allegiance and Religious Activities in Your State	783
C.	Teaching Religion in Schools — Evolution vs. Creationism	784
	Problem 5: Teaching Alternatives to Evolution	784
	<i>Epperson v. Arkansas</i>	785
	<i>Edwards v. Aguillard</i>	790
	<i>Kitzmiller v. Dover Area School District</i>	792
	Post Problem Discussion	798
§ 11.04	CATEGORY THREE: USING PUBLIC SCHOOL FACILITIES	798
	Problem 6: Religious Student Groups at Public Schools	799
	Equal Access Act	800
	<i>Board of Education of Westside Community Schools. v. Mergens</i>	802
	<i>Good News Club v. Milford Central School</i>	806
	Post Problem Discussion	808

Chapter 12 SPECIAL EDUCATION

§ 12.01	INTRODUCTION	811
A.	Background	811
B.	Key Concepts in the IDEA	813
§ 12.02	THE SPECIAL EDUCATION PROCESS	815
A.	Overview	815

Table of Contents

B.	Eligibility for Services	815
	Problem 1: Eligibility Under the IDEA	815
	34 C.F.R. § 300.8 Child with a Disability	816
	§ 300.306 Determination of eligibility	817
	§ 300.309 Determining the existence of a specific learning disability	818
	<i>Corchado v. Board of Education Rochester City School District</i>	819
	<i>Hood v. Encinitas Union School District</i>	825
	Post Problem Discussion	829
	Activity 1: Find Your State Requirements	830
§ 12.03	FAPE	831
	Problem 2: Is This Fape?	832
	<i>Board of Education of Hendrick Hudson Central School District</i> <i>v. Rowley</i>	833
	<i>D.S. v. Bayonne Board of Education</i>	838
	<i>J.L. v. Mercer Island School District</i>	845
	34 C.F.R. § 300.322 Parent Participation	853
	Post Problem Discussion	853
§ 12.04	PLACEMENT	856
	Problem 3: Unilateral Placements	857
	34 C.F.R. § 300.148 Placement of children by parents when FAPE is at issue	859
	<i>Forest Grove Sch. Dist. v. T.A.</i>	861
	Post Problem Discussion	868
§ 12.05	ADDRESSING STUDENT BEHAVIOR	868
	Problem 4: Disciplining Special Education Students	870
	20 U.S.C. § 1415(k)	871
	<i>Shelton v. Maya Angelou Public Charter School</i>	873
	<i>Fitzgerald v. Fairfax County School Board</i>	877
	Post Problem Discussion	882
§ 12.06	ATTORNEY’S FEES AND COSTS	885
	Activity 2: Recovering Fees	885

Chapter 13 NO CHILD LEFT BEHIND

§ 13.01	INTRODUCTION	887
A.	The Act	887
	20 U.S.C. § 6301 Statement of Purpose	888
B.	Flexibility and Waivers	889
§ 13.02	ACCOUNTABILITY & SANCTIONS	890
A.	Accountability Requirements	890
B.	NCLB Sanctions	893

Table of Contents

	Problem 1: Schools in Need of Improvement	894
	NCLB Regulations	895
	Post Problem Discussion	904
§ 13.03	STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES	904
	Problem 2: NCLB and Students With Disabilities	905
	Post Problem Discussion	905
§ 13.04	HIGHLY QUALIFIED TEACHERS	906
	Problem 3: State Compliance With HQT Requirements	907
	Post Problem Discussion	908
§ 13.05	NCLB GOING FORWARD	908
	Problem 4: Evaluating NCLB & Other Educational Initiatives	909
	Federal Spending Chart	910
GLOSSARY		911
TABLE OF CASES		TC-1
INDEX		I-1
