

Evidence Problems and
Materials
Fifth Edition

LexisNexis Law School Publishing Advisory Board

Paul Caron

Professor of Law

Pepperdine University School of Law

Bridgette Carr

Clinical Professor of Law

University of Michigan Law School

Steven I. Friedland

Professor of Law and Senior Scholar

Elon University School of Law

Carole Goldberg

Jonathan D. Varat Distinguished Professor of Law

UCLA School of Law

Oliver Goodenough

Professor of Law

Vermont Law School

John Sprankling

Distinguished Professor of Law

McGeorge School of Law

Evidence Problems and Materials

Fifth Edition

Steven I. Friedland

Professor of Law and Senior Scholar
Elon University School of Law

John P. Sahl

Professor of Law, Faculty Director of The Joseph G. Miller and William C. Becker
Center for Professional Responsibility
The University of Akron School of Law

ISBN: 978-1-6328-3392-1

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Names: Friedland, Steven I., author. | Saul, John P., author.
Title: Evidence Problems and Materials / Steven I. Friedland, John P. Sahl
Description: Fifth Edition | New Providence, NJ: LexisNexis, 2015
Identifiers: LCCN 2015041427 (print) | LCCN 2015041599 (ebook) | ISBN 9781632833921 (softbound) | ISBN 9781632833938 (epub)
Subjects: Evidence (Law)--United States. | LCGFT: Casebooks
Classification: LCC KF8935.F754 2015 (print) | LCC KF8935 (ebook) | DDC 345.73/06--dc23
LC record available at <http://lcn.loc.gov/2015041427>

This publication is designed to provide authoritative information in regard to the subject matter covered. It is sold with the understanding that the publisher is not engaged in rendering legal, accounting, or other professional services. If legal advice or other expert assistance is required, the services of a competent professional should be sought.

LexisNexis and the Knowledge Burst logo are registered trademarks of Reed Elsevier Properties Inc., used under license. Matthew Bender and the Matthew Bender Flame Design are registered trademarks of Matthew Bender Properties Inc.

Copyright © 2015 Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of LexisNexis. All Rights Reserved.

No copyright is claimed by LexisNexis or Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., in the text of statutes, regulations, and excerpts from court opinions quoted within this work. Permission to copy material may be licensed for a fee from the Copyright Clearance Center, 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, Mass. 01923, telephone (978) 750-8400.

NOTE TO USERS

To ensure that you are using the latest materials available in this area, please be sure to periodically check the LexisNexis Law School web site for downloadable updates and supplements at www.lexisnexis.com/lawschool.

Editorial Offices
630 Central Ave., New Providence, NJ 07974 (908) 464-6800
201 Mission St., San Francisco, CA 94105-1831 (415) 908-3200
www.lexisnexis.com

MATTHEW  BENDER

Dedication

In loving memory of my uncle, Bernard Friedland.

For my parents, Geraldine and George, my wife, Joann, and our children, Mandakini and Anish — for making every day special!

Preface

Assigning a label to this book is difficult primarily because it is not a traditional law school text. To call it a “casebook,” one that revolves around evidence decisions, would be in error. In fact, appellate case reports comprise only a small fraction of the book’s contents. Yet, the book is not a “problem” book either. While the “problem” label is certainly more accurate, such a label usually indicates a status as a course supplement. This book, however, is intended to serve as more than a supplemental source of problems; it also can be used in conjunction with the pertinent federal or state rules of evidence and their associated legislative history as an all-purpose guide to the law of evidence.

The conceptualization of the book as an evidence text is derived from one of the book’s premises: understanding the rules of evidence can occur effectively and directly through applied learning methods such as problem-solving. The book is predicated on the belief that an understanding of evidence law will be promoted if the reader actively participates in the learning process. The delivery of information to the learner is only a part of that process.

Thus, in light of the book’s premises, case reports are not the “text” of this textbook. Rather than presenting an orderly recitation of cases followed by questions, this book inverts, and then expands on, a traditional casebook ordering. The book is structured so that each section commences with brief explanatory comments about a particular area of evidence law, including an illustration of the subject matter. It then proceeds with a wide variety of problems intended to test the reader’s understanding of the evidentiary rules and their intended meaning. (The problems are primarily designed to be answered using the Federal Rules of Evidence and the associated Advisory Committee’s Notes. The text is sufficiently generic, however, to allow the use of applicable state evidentiary rules as well.) Immediately prior to the conclusion of each section, cases and other statutes are presented for comparative purposes. Since “muscle memory” requires that knowledge be imprinted, each section concludes with a summary and review.

To further promote the learning process, an attempt is made to “thicken” the problems with real world contexts that often confront trial lawyers. These contexts include: (1) the courtroom (some problems are presented in transcript form); (2) lawyering skills (some areas of the book include a discussion of skills, such as qualifying an expert, distinguishing and comparing statutes, and conducting a cross-examination of a witness); and (3) the inclusion of identifying characteristics that may significantly affect evidentiary rulings, such as race, gender, sexual preference, and ethnicity (some problems seek to discern the relevance of these characteristics by probing the values and ideology underlying the evidentiary analysis).

The inclusion of these contexts is intended not only to make the book more useful to integrating the rules with lawyering skills, but also to place legal analysis where many commentators argue that it belongs — within the social sciences. By recognizing the significance of social science contexts, readers may observe a closer connection between the application of the evidence rules and the experiences of everyday life.

We also broke with tradition by melding Evidence and Ethics — adding the ethical implications of evidentiary issues that often arise in every chapter. While the legal education agenda is stocked with concerns about incorporating skills into the curriculum in addition to

Preface

legal analysis, the discussion of professionalism is still an important and primary topic. By weaving evidence and ethics together, we offer a more realistic approach — the two often arise together in the real world, after all — and allow students to better understand the larger picture of practice, where legal issues are often a mosaic. This approach reflects some of the recommendations contained in two major reports examining legal education — *Educating Lawyers* by the Carnegie Foundation and *Best Practices for Legal Education* by the Clinical Legal Education Association.

Of course, the ethics components are such that they can be treated as supplemental issues or bypassed completely, if desired. The primary focal points of this book remain evidence law and its application, and we took care not to let the ethics problems overshadow or obscure the evidence questions.

This edition also includes new “practical tips” and “background boxes” material that help students apply the rules. Another feature is the outline at the beginning of each chapter to help students organize and synthesize the rules and related material.

If the primary learning mechanism used in the book is problem solving, the primary context within which the problem solving occurs is the courtroom. A courtroom orientation offers several pedagogical advantages. The courtroom setting facilitates role playing and encourages simulations and active participation. Role playing, in turn, allows students to consider different perspectives and to focus on how to persuade others to adopt those perspectives. In reenacting the courtroom “drama,” the students also engage in a narrative discourse. Studies have found that the narrative is an effective learning tool. Additionally, the courtroom context elevates the significance of issues relating to fact determination. The determination of fact, so important to the resolution of trials and cases, is all too often minimized in the legal education process. By using the courtroom setting, fact determination issues can be studied directly.

In addition, the courtroom backdrop allows lawyering skills to be woven into the basic fabric of the evidence course. The inclusion of lawyering skills provides a view of the “big picture” of evidence law as it is applied. Skills training also permits instructors to provide a broader critique of students, including feedback on courtroom performance as well as on the students’ understanding of the evidence rules.

By incorporating identifying characteristics in the problems such as race, ethnicity, gender, religion, sexual preference, and other socio-economic factors, the book seeks to highlight the relevance of these factors to evidentiary determinations. In particular, these factors suggest that evidentiary determinations often depend on interpretive theories of human behavior. These theories may be sufficiently important to consider and discuss in class.

The book breaks with tradition in one other way. There are occasional illustrations accompanying the problems. The reason for this inclusion is simple — people learn differently, and visual imagery can be as important as a multiplicity of words. As many trial attorneys who regularly use photographs, charts, and diagrams will attest, a single picture can greatly promote and enhance the audience’s attention.

We hope that you have as much fun in puzzling over the problems and in sorting out the values and ideology upon which the conclusions rest as we have had in putting the book together. We further hope you find that the book facilitates an understanding of the evidence rules and their constitutive framework, as well as synthesizes a broader perspective of how

Preface

the rules relate to lawyering, legal theory, and human nature.

As with most books, this one was the product of the diligent work of people too numerous to mention. We would, however, like to acknowledge and thank our families and close friends for their unconditional support and Kevin Day, Ragan Riddle, Talia Nowicki, and Marian Kousaie for their research assistance.

Steven I. Friedland
Elon University School of Law
August 2015

Jack Sahl
University of Akron School of Law
August 2015

Table of Contents

Chapter 1	INTRODUCTION TO EVIDENCE	1
§ 1.01	THE MEANINGS AND USES OF EVIDENCE	3
§ 1.02	THE RULES OF EVIDENCE	4
[A]	History	4
[B]	The Objectives of the Rules	5
§ 1.03	EVIDENCE IN ACTION	5
	A CRIMINAL CASE: United States v. Wayne Gillis	6
	United States v. Wayne Gillis	8
§ 1.04	ELABORATING ON THE MEANINGS OF THE TERM “EVIDENCE”	11
§ 1.05	TYPES OF EVIDENCE	14
[A]	Real, Representative, or Testimonial Evidence	14
[B]	Direct and Circumstantial Evidence	15
§ 1.06	MIXED PROBLEM	16
§ 1.07	SUMMARY AND REVIEW	16
Chapter 2	THE FUNCTIONS OF JUDGE, JURY, AND ATTORNEYS AT TRIAL	19
§ 2.01	INTRODUCTION	21
§ 2.02	THE JUDGE’S ROLE	25
[A]	Questions of Admissibility	25
[B]	The Standard of Review on Appeal	27
§ 2.03	THE JURY’S ROLE	28
§ 2.04	THE ATTORNEY’S ROLE	29
§ 2.05	CASE SUPPLEMENT	30
[A]	Ohler v. United States	30
[B]	United States v. White	33
§ 2.06	MIXED PROBLEMS	35
§ 2.07	RELEVANT ETHICS RULES	36
§ 2.08	SUMMARY AND REVIEW	38
Chapter 3	RELEVANCE [FRE 401, 402]	39
§ 3.01	THE IMPORTANCE OF RELEVANCE	40
§ 3.02	DEFINING RELEVANCE	41
[A]	“Probative”	41
[B]	“Fact of Consequence”	42
[C]	Identifying Relevant Evidence	45

Table of Contents

[1]	Constructing Inference Chains	45
[2]	Identifying the Line Between Relevance and Irrelevance	48
§ 3.03	CONDITIONAL RELEVANCE	53
§ 3.04	MIXED PROBLEMS	55
§ 3.05	CASES AND RULES	58
[A]	Dortch v. Fowler	58
	Questions:	60
[B]	Rules Comparison	60
§ 3.06	RELEVANT ETHICS RULES	60
§ 3.07	SUMMARY AND REVIEW	61
Chapter 4	UNFAIRLY PREJUDICIAL EVIDENCE [FRE 403]	63
§ 4.01	INTRODUCTION	64
§ 4.02	PROBABILITY EVIDENCE OF GUILT IN A CRIMINAL CASE	68
§ 4.03	EVIDENCE OF EXCESSIVE VIOLENCE	70
§ 4.04	SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE	72
§ 4.05	SIMILAR OCCURRENCES, HAPPENINGS, AND EVENTS	76
§ 4.06	MIXED PROBLEMS	79
§ 4.07	CASES AND RULES	83
[A]	People v. Collins	83
	<i>Supreme Court of California</i>	83
[B]	Old Chief v. United States	88
	<i>United States Supreme Court</i>	88
[C]	Sprint/United Mgmt. Co. v. Mendelsohn	90
	<i>United States Supreme Court</i>	90
[D]	Rules Comparison	93
§ 4.08	RELEVANT ETHICS RULES	93
§ 4.09	SUMMARY AND REVIEW	95
Chapter 5	CHARACTER AND HABIT EVIDENCE [FRE 404–406]	97
§ 5.01	INTRODUCTION	99
[A]	What Is Character Evidence?	99
[B]	The Three Forms of Character Evidence	101
[C]	Character vs. Credibility Evidence	101
§ 5.02	PROPENSITY CHARACTER EVIDENCE	102
[A]	Propensity Evidence Defined	102
[B]	Why Offer Propensity Evidence?	102
[C]	Why Exclude Propensity Evidence?	102
[D]	Exceptions: Admissible Propensity Evidence	103
[1]	For a person accused of a crime	103

Table of Contents

[2]	To attack a witness's veracity	103
[E]	Forms of Admissible Propensity Evidence	103
[F]	Problems	104
[1]	Identifying Propensity Character Evidence: Problems Involving the Elements	104
§ 5.03	CHARACTER EVIDENCE EXCEPTION: THE ACCUSED OFFERS EVIDENCE FIRST	107
§ 5.04	CHARACTER EVIDENCE ON AN ESSENTIAL ELEMENT	108
[A]	Problems Involving Character as an Essential Element of a Case	109
§ 5.05	MIXED CHARACTER EVIDENCE PROBLEMS	111
§ 5.06	OTHER ACTS EVIDENCE: OFFERED FOR NON-CHARACTER PURPOSES [FRE 404(B)]	111
§ 5.07	RES GESTAE: COMPLETING THE STORY	116
§ 5.08	CASES AND RULES	118
[A]	People v. Zackowitz	118
[B]	Michelson v. United States	122
[C]	Rex v. Smith	127
[D]	Dowling v. United States	128
[E]	Huddleston v. United States	132
[F]	People v. Chambers	135
[G]	Rules Comparison	140
§ 5.09	CHARACTER EVIDENCE REVIEW	141
[A]	Mixed Character Evidence Problems	141
[B]	Character Evidence Summary and Review	142
§ 5.10	HABIT EVIDENCE [FRE 406]	142
§ 5.11	RELEVANT ETHICS RULES	145
§ 5.12	HABIT EVIDENCE SUMMARY AND REVIEW	146
Chapter 6	OTHER EXCLUSIONS OF RELEVANT EVIDENCE [FRE 407–415]	147
§ 6.01	INTRODUCTION	153
§ 6.02	SUBSEQUENT REMEDIAL MEASURES [FRE 407]	154
§ 6.03	OFFERS TO COMPROMISE, PAY MEDICAL EXPENSES, AND PLEAS OF GUILTY OR NOLO CONTENDERE [FRE 408–10]	157
§ 6.04	LIABILITY INSURANCE [FRE 411]	162
§ 6.05	RAPE CASES [FRE 412]	164
	Date Rape and the Culture of Acceptance	164
§ 6.06	PRIOR ACTS BY SEXUAL BATTERY DEFENDANTS [FRE 413–15]	168
§ 6.07	CASES AND RULES	169
[A]	Moe v. Avions Marcel Dassault-Breguet Aviation	169
[B]	Charter v. Chleborad	171

Table of Contents

[C]	Rules Comparison	171
[1]	Rule 407	171
[2]	Rule 408	172
[3]	Rule 409	172
[4]	Rule 410	172
[5]	Rule 412	173
	MCL § 750.520j(1) (Michigan Statutes Annotated § 28.788(10)).	
	Admissibility of Evidence; Victim’s Sexual Conduct	173
§ 6.08	MIXED PROBLEMS	174
§ 6.09	RELEVANT ETHICS RULES	175
§ 6.10	SUMMARY AND REVIEW	178

Chapter 7 THE EXAMINATION AND IMPEACHMENT OF WITNESSES [FRE 607–615] 183

§ 7.01	THE EXAMINATION OF WITNESSES [FRE 607–615]	188
[A]	Overview	188
[B]	General Principles	189
[C]	Witnesses — Testimony <i>Viva Voce</i>	190
[D]	The Stages of Witness Testimony	190
[E]	Testimonial Objections	195
[F]	Refreshing the Witness’s Memory	199
§ 7.02	THE IMPEACHMENT OF WITNESSES [FRE 607–613]	200
[A]	Introduction	200
[B]	Intrinsic Impeachment	201
[1]	Methods of Impeachment	201
[2]	Contradiction	204
[3]	Bias	204
[4]	Convictions of Crime [FRE 609]	205
[5]	Prior Untruthful Acts [FRE 608(b)]	208
[6]	Testimonial Capacities	209
[7]	Prior Inconsistent Statements [FRE 613]	210
[8]	Mixed Intrinsic Impeachment Problems	212
[C]	Extrinsic Impeachment	214
[D]	After Impeachment: Rehabilitating the Impeached Witness	218
[E]	Cases and Rules	219
[1]	Clarett v. Roberts	219
[2]	Rules Comparison	222
§ 7.03	MIXED PROBLEMS	224
§ 7.04	SUMMARY AND REVIEW	227

Table of Contents

Chapter 8 THE COMPETENCY OF WITNESSES [FRE 601–606] 229

§ 8.01	COMPETENCY: WHO MAY TESTIFY	231
[A]	Presumption of Competency	231
[B]	“Dead Man’s” Statutes	232
[C]	Understanding the Obligation to Be Truthful	232
[D]	Requirement of Personal Knowledge	235
[E]	Testimony by Juror, Attorney, or Judge	236
[F]	Hypnotically Refreshed Testimony	237
§ 8.02	COMPETENCY PROBLEMS	238
§ 8.03	CASES AND RULES	243
[A]	United States v. Phibbs	243
[B]	Rock v. Arkansas	244
[C]	Gardner v. Galetka	247
[D]	Falwell v. Flynt	247
[E]	Turbyfill v. International Harvester Company	248
[F]	Washington v. Texas	248
[G]	United States v. Boender	249
[H]	Rules Comparison	252
§ 8.04	MIXED PROBLEMS	253
§ 8.05	RELEVANT ETHICS RULES	254
§ 8.06	SUMMARY AND REVIEW	255

Chapter 9 OPINIONS AND EXPERT TESTIMONY [FRE 701–706] . . 257

§ 9.01	LAY WITNESS OPINIONS [FRE 701]	260
[1]	When Lay Opinion Helps the Trier of Fact	262
§ 9.02	EXPERT WITNESSES [FRE 702–706]	265
[A]	Introduction	265
[B]	Qualifying the Expert	268
[1]	Qualifying Experts	270
[C]	Expert Witnesses and Novel Scientific Evidence	272
[D]	Specialized Knowledge and Expertise: Limits on the Subject Matter of Expert Testimony [FRE 702, 704]	274
[1]	When Specialized Knowledge Helps the Trier of Fact	275
[E]	The Bases of Expert Testimony [FRE 703, 705]	278
[1]	What Experts Reasonably Rely Upon	278
§ 9.03	CASES AND RULES	279
[A]	Frye v. United States	279
[B]	United States v. Downing	281
[C]	Daubert v. Merrell Dow Pharmaceuticals, Inc.	282
[D]	United States v. Rincon	287

Table of Contents

[E]	People v. Chambers	290
[F]	General Electric Co. v. Joiner	293
[G]	Kumho Tire Co. v. Carmichel	296
[H]	State v. Kelly	300
[I]	United States v. Piccinonna	301
[J]	Rules Comparison	304
§ 9.04	MIXED PROBLEMS	305
§ 9.05	RELEVANT ETHICS RULES	307
§ 9.06	SUMMARY AND REVIEW	310
Chapter 10	HEARSAY [FRE 801–807]	313
§ 10.01	INTRODUCTION	321
§ 10.02	DEFINITION OF HEARSAY [FRE 801]	323
[A]	Out-of-Court	323
[B]	Statement	323
[C]	By a Declarant	324
[D]	Offered for the Truth of the Matter Asserted	324
[E]	Problems	327
[F]	Cases	330
[1]	Sir Walter Raleigh’s Case	330
[2]	Zippo Manufacturing Co. v. Rogers Imports, Inc.	332
[3]	Wright v. Doe D. Tatham	333
[4]	United States of America, Plaintiff v. Albert Charles Zenni, Jr., Et, Al., Defendants	334
[5]	United States v. Alosa	339
[G]	Review Problems	341
§ 10.03	STATUTORY NON-HEARSAY [FRE 801(D)]	344
[A]	Prior Statements of Witnesses [FRE 801(d)(1)]	344
[B]	Opposing Party Statements [FRE 801(d)(2)]	347
[C]	Cases and Rules	351
[1]	United States v. Day	351
[2]	United States v. Flecha	352
[3]	Mahlandt v. Wild Canid Survival & Research Center	354
[4]	Bourjaily v. United States	355
[5]	Rules Comparison	357
[D]	Review Problems	358
§ 10.04	HEARSAY EXCEPTIONS [FRE 803, 804]	360
[A]	Introduction	360
[B]	Problems — Availability of Declarant Immaterial [FRE 803]	361
[1]	Present Sense Impressions, Excited Utterances, Present State of Mind,	

Table of Contents

	Statements Made for Purposes of Medical Diagnosis, and Prior Recollection Recorded	361
[2]	Business Records	366
[3]	Other Hearsay Exceptions	372
[C]	Hearsay Exceptions — Declarant Must Be Unavailable [FRE 804]	373
[1]	Introduction	373
[2]	Requirement of Unavailability [FRE 804(a)]	374
[3]	Former Testimony [FRE 804(b)(1)]	375
[4]	Dying Declarations [FRE 804(b)(2)]	376
[5]	Statements Against Interest [FRE 804(b)(3)]	378
[6]	Personal or Family History [FRE 804(b)(4)]	380
[D]	Attacking and Supporting the Credibility of the Declarant	380
[E]	Residual Exception	380
[F]	Cases and Rules	381
[1]	Miller v. Keating	381
[2]	United States v. Iron Shell	382
[3]	Mutual Life Insurance Co. v. Hillmon	383
[4]	Shepard v. United States	385
[5]	United States v. Pheaster	387
[6]	Palmer v. Hoffman	388
[7]	Beech Aircraft Corp. v. Rainey	390
[8]	Dallas County v. Commercial Union Assurance Co.	392
[9]	United States v. Salerno	394
[10]	United States v. MacDonald	396
[11]	Horne v. Owens-Corning Fiberglas Corp.	397
[12]	Williamson v. United States	399
[13]	Rules Comparison	404
[G]	Review Problems	405
§ 10.05	MISCELLANEOUS HEARSAY ISSUES [FRE 805, 806]	406
§ 10.06	SUMMARY AND REVIEW	407
Chapter 11	THE CONFRONTATION CLAUSE	409
§ 11.01	INTRODUCTION	411
§ 11.02	CRAWFORD AND ITS PROGENY	413
[A]	The Basic Rule	413
	Crawford v. Washington	413
[B]	What Is a “Testimonial Statement?”	422
[1]	Distinguishing Testimonial Statements From Statements Made for the Primary Purpose of Resolving an On-Going Emergency	422
	Hammon v. Indiana; Davis v. Washington	422

Table of Contents

[2]	Further Distinguishing Testimonial Statements From Statements Made for the Primary Purpose of Resolving an On-Going Emergency	431
	Michigan v. Bryant	431
[3]	Statement About Child Abuse to Person Other Than Police Officer	438
	Ohio v. Clark	438
§ 11.03	PROBLEMS	440
§ 11.04	EXPERTS AND CONFRONTATION	442
[A]	Melendez-Diaz v. Massachusetts	442
[B]	Bullcoming v. New Mexico	451
[C]	Williams v. Illinois	453
§ 11.05	MORE PROBLEMS	459
§ 11.06	WAIVER OF CONFRONTATION RIGHTS	460
	Giles v. California	460
§ 11.07	PRONG #2 OF CONFRONTATION CLAUSE ANALYSIS: FACE-TO-FACE CONFRONTATION FOR SEXUAL ASSAULT OR CHILD ABUSE WITNESSES	461
	Maryland v. Craig	461
§ 11.08	SUMMARY AND REVIEW	463
Chapter 12	PRIVILEGES [FRE 501]	465
§ 12.01	RELEVANT FRE: ENACTED AND PROPOSED (BUT REJECTED) RULES	468
§ 12.02	INTRODUCTION TO PRIVILEGES	473
[A]	The Definition of Privileged Evidence	474
[B]	Federal and State Privileges	475
[C]	Sources of Privilege	475
[D]	The Operation of Privileges	476
[E]	Confidential Communication Privileges	478
[F]	Public Policy-Based Privileges Generally	478
§ 12.03	THE FEDERAL RULES OF EVIDENCE APPROACH TO PRIVILEGE . .	479
§ 12.04	SOME SPECIFIC PRIVILEGES	480
[A]	The Husband-Wife Privileges: Adverse Spousal Testimony and Confidential Communications	480
[1]	The Adverse Spousal Testimony Privilege	480
[2]	The Confidential Communications Privilege	481
[B]	The Attorney-Client Privilege	482
[1]	Elements of Privilege	482
[2]	The Attorney-Client Privilege and the Corporate (Entity) Client	486
[3]	Limitations on Waiver of the Attorney-Client and Work Product	488
[C]	Other Privileges	489

Table of Contents

[1]	“Work Product” Privilege	489
[2]	Psychotherapist-Patient Privilege	490
[3]	Physician-Patient Privilege	492
[4]	The Fifth Amendment Privilege Against Self-Incrimination	492
§ 12.05	CASES AND RULES	494
[A]	Upjohn Co. v. United States	494
[B]	Nix v. Whiteside	496
[C]	Jaffee v. Redmond	499
[D]	Trammel v. United States	500
[E]	State ex rel. Sowers v. Olwell	501
[F]	Schipp v. General Motors Corporation	501
[G]	Rules Comparison	504
§ 12.06	MIXED PROBLEMS	508
§ 12.07	RELEVANT ETHICS RULES	509
§ 12.08	SUMMARY AND REVIEW	511

Chapter 13 AUTHENTICATION, IDENTIFICATION, AND THE BEST EVIDENCE RULE 513

§ 13.01	AUTHENTICATION AND IDENTIFICATION	519
[A]	Requirement of Authentication	519
[B]	Procedures for Authentication	520
[C]	Problems	521
§ 13.02	THE BEST EVIDENCE RULE	524
[A]	Production of Original Document	524
[B]	Exceptions to Requirement of Original	526
[C]	Problems	527
§ 13.03	CASES	530
[A]	Seiler v. Lucasfilm	530
[B]	United States v. Duffy	535
§ 13.04	SUMMARY AND REVIEW	536

Chapter 14 PROOF ISSUES: THE ALLOCATION OF PROOF, JUDICIAL NOTICE, AND PRESUMPTIONS [FRE 201, 301, 302] 537

§ 14.01	INTRODUCTION	538
§ 14.02	THE ALLOCATION OF PROOF	539
§ 14.03	JUDICIAL NOTICE [FRE 201]	545
[A]	Introduction	545
[B]	Problems	546
[C]	Rules Comparison	549

Table of Contents

§ 14.04	PRESUMPTIONS [FRE 301, 302]	549
[A]	Introduction	549
[B]	Rebuttable Presumptions in Civil Cases	550
[C]	Conclusive and Permissive Presumptions in Civil Cases	551
[D]	Presumptions in Criminal Prosecutions	552
[E]	Problems	553
[F]	Cases and Rules	557
[1]	Vlandis v. Kline	557
[2]	Sandstrom v. Montana	557
[3]	County Court of Ulster County v. Allen	560
[4]	Rules Comparison	564
§ 14.05	SUMMARY AND REVIEW	565
Chapter 15	REVIEW PROBLEMS	567
§ 15.01	MOCK TRIAL	567
	United States v. O’Ruben	567
§ 15.02	PROBLEMS	572
Appendix	THE FEDERAL RULES OF EVIDENCE	581
Index	I-1
