

































































































































































































































































































Joslin, (Not) Just Surrogacy, \_\_ Cal. L. Rev. \_\_ (forthcoming 2021), available on SSRN at [https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract\\_id=3561081](https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=3561081). A number of these permissive statutes have gendered provisions. Some, such as the Utah statute, require proof of medical necessity for using the arrangement. Others have requirements that the child produced by surrogacy arrangements have genetic material from both of the intended parents. What do you think of such requirements?

2. The pros and cons of surrogacy have been debated for decades. While the arrangement is certainly a boon for those couples who are medically incapable of reproducing, there are countervailing public policy considerations. Some believe that paying someone else to have a baby for you is too close to buying and selling children, which is certainly against public policy. Others fear that allowing a widespread practice of surrogacy arrangements might create an underclass of women who see having babies as a way of making a living because they have few other options. If you were designing a permissive surrogacy statute that would be equally available to same-sex male or female couples, what would you include? Would you, for example, limit its application to married couples?