Addendum B

Getting in a Good Reading Groove

Developing new habits takes conscious effort. The best way to develop healthy reading habits in law is to force yourself to think consciously about what you are reading and how you are reading it. This addendum introduces you to a beginning checklist you can reproduce (copies available online at http://www.unc.edu/~ramckinn) and use for the next several weeks as you read cases. This beginning checklist is long – too long to use forever or on every case you are reading. However, as you learn to read law like an expert law student, you should use it on at least one case a day in each of your classes for the first two or three weeks of school.

After you have integrated the questions raised in this checklist into your daily reading habits (so that the questions come up in your mind automatically and you are reading with these questions in the back of your head), you can (and should) shift to the second Checklist ("Focus and Enjoy") found in Addendum C. That checklist is designed to condense the questions raised in the beginner's checklist into four succinct and efficient questions that you should ask yourself *each and every time* you read a case throughout law school. By chunking the questions raised in the first checklist into the broader questions raised in the second, you will be moving from a beginner stage to an expert stage of casebook reading. If you try to use the second checklist first, it won't do the same thing for you. Instead, you may find that you miss information altogether (instead of including it automatically) because you won't have developed the right "reading groove" through practice.

Beginning Case-Reading Checklist (Developing the Right Reading Habits)

A. Before You Read the Case

My purpose for reading this case today is:

I have the following amount of time to complete my reading:

I have looked at the following external cues:

RUTH ANN MCKINNEY, READING LIKE A LAWYER (2d ed. 2012) (© 2020 Carolina Academic Press)

| | Course Title |
|---|--|
| | Table of Contents |
| | |
| | Course Syllabus Background Reading in Text |
| | Section Heading & Sub-heading |
| | Running Header |
| | Running Header Other cases in this cluster |
| | Notes & Problems |
| | Case Name & Citation |
| | Judge's Name & Stature |
| I am av | are of the following internal cues/thoughts: |
| | I have prior knowledge about this area of the law |
| | I have prior knowledge about the era or geographical location of decision |
| | I have prior knowledge about these facts |
| | I have some emotional reactions to this topic or the area or date of decision |
| | he following hypothesis about what this case will show and how it will fit in my or's overall plan for this course: |
| I am gi | |
| _ | essing that the following words might be key "magic" words (see Chapter 11) that racterize rules & principles in this area of the law: |
| will ch | |
| will ch | racterize rules & principles in this area of the law: |
| will ch | racterize rules & principles in this area of the law: You Read the Case: marked sections that made no sense and decided whether to figure them out or |
| will ch | You Read the Case: marked sections that made no sense and decided whether to figure them out or i. If I decided to figure them out, I did the following: |
| will ch | racterize rules & principles in this area of the law: You Read the Case: marked sections that made no sense and decided whether to figure them out or n. If I decided to figure them out, I did the following: made an inference from the content |
| will ch | racterize rules & principles in this area of the law: You Read the Case: marked sections that made no sense and decided whether to figure them out or i. If I decided to figure them out, I did the following: made an inference from the content looked up a word in a legal dictionary asked a peer, an upperclass student, or my professor |
| will ch | racterize rules & principles in this area of the law: You Read the Case: marked sections that made no sense and decided whether to figure them out or i. If I decided to figure them out, I did the following: made an inference from the content looked up a word in a legal dictionary |
| will ch | racterize rules & principles in this area of the law: You Read the Case: marked sections that made no sense and decided whether to figure them out or i. If I decided to figure them out, I did the following: made an inference from the content looked up a word in a legal dictionary asked a peer, an upperclass student, or my professor reread the section more carefully |
| will ch | racterize rules & principles in this area of the law: You Read the Case: marked sections that made no sense and decided whether to figure them out or i. If I decided to figure them out, I did the following: made an inference from the content looked up a word in a legal dictionary asked a peer, an upperclass student, or my professor reread the section more carefully read ahead to see if there were cues later in the case |
| B. As | racterize rules & principles in this area of the law: You Read the Case: marked sections that made no sense and decided whether to figure them out or in. If I decided to figure them out, I did the following: made an inference from the content looked up a word in a legal dictionary asked a peer, an upperclass student, or my professor reread the section more carefully read ahead to see if there were cues later in the case paraphrased in my own words, using Plain English other |
| will change in the second will change in the second will change in the second will be second with the second will be second | racterize rules & principles in this area of the law: You Read the Case: marked sections that made no sense and decided whether to figure them out or in. If I decided to figure them out, I did the following: made an inference from the content looked up a word in a legal dictionary asked a peer, an upperclass student, or my professor reread the section more carefully read ahead to see if there were cues later in the case paraphrased in my own words, using Plain English |

RUTH ANN MCKINNEY, READING LIKE A LAWYER (2d ed. 2012) (© 2020 Carolina Academic Press)

| I visualized the operative conflict facts in living color (see Chapter 9). |
|---|
| I can explain accurately to a layperson how this case wound through the courts and got here. |
| I have modified or affirmed my hypothesis at various points. |
| I have made up at least one hypothetical to test what I think the rule is in this case. |
| I am still confused about: |
| |
| I feel satisfied about: |
| |
| If there were related "Notes & Problems," I read them and they caused me to also think about: |
| |
| C. After Reading the Case: |
| I believe the main point of reading this case in the context of this course is to learn: |
| |
| The main point this case contributes to my coursework is based on the following broader |
| policy considerations or big-picture rationales (why is this result "fair" or a good idea): |
| If the decision had been mine to make at the time this case was decided, I would: |
| agree |
| disagree |
| Sibugite |

| Because: | |
|--|--|
| I could rationally apply this rule to a modern situation today, such as (or, alternatively, this rule could not be applied to a modern situation today because): | |
| If the decision were mine to make <i>today</i> (not when the case was decided), I (personally) would: | |
| reach a similar result under similar facts | |
| reach a different result | |
| Because: | |
| | |
| D. After Reading a Cluster of Related Cases and Text: | |
| | |
| In addition to the author's choice of cases themselves, the author's background information and related Notes & Problems caused me to speculate that the following are common themes in this area of law: | |
| information and related Notes & Problems caused me to speculate that the following are | |
| information and related Notes & Problems caused me to speculate that the following are common themes in this area of law: The specific issues/factors/elements are the kind of things a judge would consider if faced | |

| Stated succinctly, alternative rules (if applicable) appear to be: |
|---|
| Stated succinctly, the following exceptions or defenses appear to exist to this rule: |
| The big-picture policy/fairness reasons for the development of these rules appears to be: |
| These policy reasons should be balanced against the following potentially conflicting policy/fairness concerns: |
| The key ("magic") words that hold special meaning (beyond a layperson's meaning) in this area of law are: |
| |
| |
| |